MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

ST2 Assay for Chronic Heart Failure

Table of Contents

- Policy: Commercial
- Authorization Information
- Coding Information
- Description
- Policy History
- Information Pertaining to All Policies
- References

Policy Number: 530

BCBSA Reference Number: 2.01.68 (For Plan internal use only)

Related Policies

- Heart Transplant, #197
- Heart/Lung Transplant, #269

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

The use of the Presage ST2 Assay to evaluate the prognosis of patients diagnosed with chronic heart failure is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

The use of the Presage ST2 Assay to guide management (eg, pharmacologic, device-based, exercise) of patients diagnosed with chronic heart failure is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

The use of the Presage ST2 Assay in the post cardiac transplantation period, including but not limited to predicting prognosis and predicting acute cellular rejection, is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is **not** a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is **not** a covered service.

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The following CPT code is considered investigational for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
83006	Growth stimulation expressed gene 2 (ST2, Interleukin 1 receptor like-1)

Description

Heart Failure

Heart failure is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The term *heart failure* refers to a complex clinical syndrome that impairs the heart's ability to move blood through the circulatory system. The prevalence of heart failure in the U.S. between 2013 and 2016 was an estimated 6.2 million for Americans ≥20 years old, up from 5.7 million from between 2009 and 2012. Heart failure is the leading cause of hospitalization among people older than age 65 years, with direct and indirect costs estimated at $37 billion annually in the U.S. Although survival has improved with treatment advances, absolute mortality rates of heart failure remain near 50% within 5 years of diagnosis.

Physiology

Heart failure can be caused by disorders of the pericardium, myocardium, endocardium, heart valves or great vessels, or metabolic abnormalities. Individuals with heart failure may present with a wide range of left ventricular (LV) anatomy and function. Some have normal LV size and preserved ejection fraction; others have severe LV dilatation and depressed ejection fraction. However, most patients present with key signs and symptoms secondary to congestion in the lungs from impaired LV myocardial function.[1,] They include dyspnea, orthopnea, and paroxysmal dyspnea. Other symptoms include weight gain due to fluid retention, fatigue, weakness, and exercise intolerance secondary to diminished cardiac output.

Diagnosis

Initial evaluation of a patient with suspected heart failure is typically based on clinical history, physical examination, and chest radiograph. Because people with heart failure may present with nonspecific signs and symptoms (eg, dyspnea), accurate diagnosis can be challenging. Therefore, noninvasive imaging procedures (eg, echocardiography, radionuclide angiography) are used to quantify pump function of the heart, thus identifying or excluding heart failure in patients with characteristic signs and symptoms. These tests can also be used to assess prognosis by determining the severity of the underlying cardiac dysfunction.[1,] However, clinical assessment and noninvasive imaging can be limited in accurately evaluating patients with heart failure because symptoms and signs can poorly correlate with objective methods of assessing cardiac dysfunction.[4,5,6,] Thus, invasive procedures (eg, cardiac angiography, catheterization) are used in select patients with presumed heart failure symptoms to determine the etiology (ie, ischemic vs. nonischemic) and physiologic characteristics of the condition.

Treatment

Patients with heart failure may be treated using a number of interventions. Lifestyle factors such as the restriction of salt and fluid intake, monitoring for increased weight, and structured exercise programs are beneficial components of self-management. A variety of medications are available to treat heart failure. They include diuretics (eg, furosemide, hydrochlorothiazide, spironolactone), angiotensin-converting

enzyme inhibitors (eg, captopril, enalapril, lisinopril), angiotensin receptor blockers (eg, losartan, valsartan, candesartan), b-blockers (eg, carvedilol, metoprolol succinate), and vasodilators (eg, hydralazine, isosorbide dinitrate). Numerous device-based therapies also are available. Implantable cardioverter defibrillators reduce mortality in patients with an increased risk of sudden cardiac death. Cardiac resynchronization therapy improves symptoms and reduces mortality for patients who have disordered LV conduction evidenced by a wide QRS complex on electrocardiogram. Ventricular assist devices are indicated for patients with end-stage heart failure who have failed all other therapies and are also used as a bridge to cardiac transplantation in select patients.[1.]

Heart Failure Biomarkers

Because of limitations inherent in standard clinical assessments of patients with heart failure, a number of objective disease biomarkers have been investigated to diagnose and assess heart failure patient prognosis, with the additional goal of using biomarkers to guide therapy. They include a number of proteins, peptides, or other small molecules whose production and release into circulation reflect the activation of remodeling and neurohormonal pathways that lead to LV impairment. Examples include B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), its analogue N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), troponin T and I, renin, angiotensin, arginine vasopressin, C-reactive protein, and norepinephrine.

BNP and NT-proBNP are considered the reference standards for biomarkers in assessing heart failure patients. They have had substantial impact on the standard of care for diagnosis of heart failure and are included in the recommendations of all major medical societies, including the American College of Cardiology Foundation and American Heart Association, European Society of Cardiology, and the Heart Failure Society of America. Although natriuretic peptide levels are not 100% specific for the clinical diagnosis of heart failure, elevated BNP or NT-proBNP levels in the presence of clinical signs and symptoms reliably identify the presence of structural heart disease due to remodeling and heightened risk for adverse events. Natriuretic peptides also can help in determining prognosis of heart failure patients, with elevated blood levels portending poorer prognosis.

In addition to diagnosing and assessing prognosis of heart failure patients, blood levels of BNP or NT-proBNP have been proposed as an aid for managing patients diagnosed with chronic heart failure. Levels of either biomarker rise in response to myocardial damage and LV remodeling, whereas they tend to fall as drug therapy ameliorates symptoms of heart failure. Evidence from a large number of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that have compared BNP- or NT-proBNP-guided therapy with clinically guided adjustment of pharmacologic treatment of patients who had chronic heart failure has been assessed in recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses. However, these analyses have not consistently reported a benefit for BNP-guided management. Savarese et al (2013) published the largest meta-analysis to date, a patient-level meta-analysis that evaluated 2686 patients from 12 RCTs. This meta-analysis showed that NT-proBNP-guided management was associated with significant reductions in all-cause mortality and heart failure–related hospitalization compared with clinically guided treatment. Although BNP-guided management in this meta-analysis was not associated with significant reductions in these parameters, differences in patient numbers and characteristics may explain the discrepancy. Troughton et al (2014) conducted a second patient-level meta-analysis that included 11 RCTs with 2000 patients randomized to natriuretic peptide-guided pharmacologic therapy or usual care. The results showed that, among patients 75 years of age or younger with chronic heart failure, most of whom had impaired left ventricular ejection fraction, natriuretic peptide-guided therapy was associated with significant reductions in all-cause mortality compared with clinically guided therapy. Natriuretic-guided therapy also was associated with significant reductions in hospitalization due to heart failure or cardiovascular disease.

Suppression of Tumorigenicity-2 Protein Biomarker

A protein biomarker, ST2, has elicited interest as a potential aid to predict prognosis and manage therapy of heart failure. This protein is a member of the interleukin-1 (IL-1) receptor family. It is found as a transmembrane isoform (ST2L) and a soluble isoform (sST2), both of which have circulating IL-33 as their primary ligand. ST2 is a unique biomarker that has pluripotent effects in vivo. Thus, binding between IL-33 and ST2L is believed to have an immunomodulatory function via T-helper type 2 lymphocytes and was initially described in the context of cell proliferation, inflammatory states, and autoimmune diseases.[19.] However, the IL-33/ST2L signaling cascade is also strongly induced through mechanical

strain of cardiac fibroblasts or cardiomyocytes. The net result is mitigation of adverse cardiac remodeling and myocardial fibrosis, which are key processes in the development of heart failure. The soluble isoform of ST2 is produced by lung epithelial cells and cardiomyocytes and is secreted into circulation in response to exogenous stimuli, mechanical stress, and cellular stretch. This form of ST2 binds to circulating IL-33, acting as a "decoy," thus inhibiting the IL-33-associated antiremodeling effects of the IL-33/ST2L signaling pathway. Thus, on a biologic level, IL-33/ST2L signaling plays a role in modulating the balance of inflammation and neurohormonal activation and is viewed as pivotal for protection from myocardial remodeling, whereas sST2 is viewed as attenuating this protection. In the clinic, blood concentrations of sST2 appear to correlate closely with adverse cardiac structure and functional changes consistent with remodeling in patients with heart failure, including abnormalities in filling pressures, chamber size, and systolic and diastolic function.

An enzyme-linked immunosorbent-based assay is commercially available for determining sST2 blood levels (Presage ST2 Assay). The manufacturer claims a limit of detection of 1.8 ng/mL for sST2, and a limit of quantification of 2.4 ng/mL, as determined according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guideline EP-17-A.Mueller and Dieplinger (2013) reported a limit of detection of 2.0 ng/mL for sST2 in their study. In the same study, the assay had a within-run coefficient of variation of 2.5% and a total coefficient of variation less than 4.0%, demonstrated linearity within the dynamic range of the assay calibration curve, and exhibited no relevant interference or cross-reactivity.

The ST2 biomarker is not intended to diagnosis heart failure because it is a relatively nonspecific marker that is increased in many other disparate conditions that may be associated with acute or chronic manifestations of heart failure. Although the natriuretic peptides (BNP, NT-proBNP) reflect different physiologic aspects of heart failure compared with sST2, they are considered the reference standard biomarkers when used with clinical findings to diagnose, prognosticate, and manage heart failure and as such are the comparator to sST2.

Presage ST2 Assay
In addition to its use as a potential aid to predict prognosis and manage therapy of heart failure, elevated serum ST2 levels have also been associated with increased risk of antibody-mediated rejection following heart transplant. For this reason, ST2 has also been proposed as a prognostic marker post heart transplantation and as a test to predict acute cellular rejection (graft-versus-host disease). The Presage ST2 Assay, described above, is a commercially available sST2 test that has been investigated as a biomarker of heart transplant rejection.

Summary
Clinical assessment and noninvasive imaging of chronic heart failure can be limited in accurately diagnosing patients with heart failure because symptoms and signs can poorly correlate with objective methods of assessing cardiac dysfunction. For management of heart failure, clinical signs and symptoms (eg, shortness of breath) are relatively crude markers of decompensation and occur late in the course of an exacerbation. Thus, circulating biomarkers have potential benefit in heart failure diagnosis and management.

In transplant recipients, despite the progress in immunosuppressant therapy, risk of rejection remains. Diagnosis of allograft rejection continues to rely on clinical monitoring and histologic confirmation by tissue biopsy. However, due to limitations of tissue biopsy, including a high degree of interobserver variability in the grading of results and its potential complications, less invasive alternatives have been investigated. Several laboratory-tested biomarkers of transplant rejection have been evaluated and are commercially available for use. The laboratory tests for heart transplant rejection currently evaluated in this policy include the Presage® ST2 Assay kit, which measures the soluble suppression of tumorigenicity-2 protein biomarker; the Heartsbreath test, which measures breath markers of oxidative stress.

Summary of Evidence
For individuals who have chronic heart failure who receive the sST2 assay to determine prognosis and/or to guide management, the evidence includes correlational studies and 2 meta-analyses. Relevant

outcomes are overall survival, quality of life, and hospitalization. Most of the evidence is from reanalysis of existing randomized controlled trials and not from studies specifically designed to evaluate the predictive accuracy of sST2, and prospective and retrospective cross-sectional studies made up a large part of 1 meta-analysis. Studies have mainly found that elevated sST2 levels are statistically associated with elevated risk of mortality. A pooled analysis of study results found that sST2 significantly predicted overall mortality and cardiovascular mortality. Several studies, however, found that sST2 test results did not provide additional prognostic information compared with N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide levels. Moreover, no comparative studies were identified on the use of the sST2 assay to guide management of patients diagnosed with chronic heart failure. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have heart transplantation who receive sST2 assay to determine prognosis and/or to predict acute cellular rejection, the evidence includes a small number of retrospective observational studies on the Presage ST2 Assay. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, morbid events, and hospitalization. No prospective studies were identified that provide high-quality evidence on the ability of sST2 to predict transplant outcomes. One retrospective study (n = 241) found that sST2 levels were associated with acute cellular rejection and mortality; another study (n = 26) found that sST2 levels were higher during an acute rejection episode than before rejection. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Policy History

Date	Action
1/2022	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
4/2021	Title changed. Policy clarified to remove myTAIHEART assay; Allosure and AlloMap. These tests are managed through AIM Specialty Health.
1/2021	Coding information clarified.
1/2021	Medicare information removed. See MP #132 Medicare Advantage Management for local coverage determination and national coverage determination reference.
12/2020	Annual policy review. Content from policy #723 ST2 Assay for Chronic Heart Failure and Heart Transplant Rejection was merged into this policy. Title changed to: Laboratory Tests Post Transplant and for Heart Failure.
11/2019	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
3/2019	Annual policy review. New investigational indications described. Title expanded to include kidney transplant rejection. Effective 3/1/2019.
1/2019	Investigational policy statement clarified.
7/2018	Clarified coding information.
11/2017	Annual policy review. New references added.
7/2016	Annual policy review. In first policy statement, “grade 3” changed to “grade 2R/grade 3” due to updated ISHLT rejection grades and brand name of test removed; intent of statements unchanged.
1/2016	Clarified coding information.
6/2015	Annual policy review. New references added.
7/2014	Annual policy review. New references added.
6/2014	Policy statements edited for clarity.
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
4/2011	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group – Cardiology and Pulmonology. No changes to policy statements.
2/3/2011	New policy effective 2/3/2011 describing ongoing non-coverage.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

Medical Policy Terms of Use
Managed Care Guidelines
Indemnity/PPO Guidelines
Clinical Exception Process
Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines

References

1. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. Oct 15 2013; 62(16): e147-239. PMID 23747642
2. Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2011 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. Feb 01 2011; 123(4): e18-e209. PMID 21160056
3. Virani SS, Alonso A, Benjamin EJ, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2020 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. Circulation. Mar 03 2020; 141(9): e139-e596. PMID 31992061
4. Rohde LE, Beck-da-Silva L, Goldraich L, et al. Reliability and prognostic value of traditional signs and symptoms in outpatients with congestive heart failure. Can J Cardiol. May 15 2004; 20(7): 697-702. PMID 15197422
5. Marcus GM, Gerber IL, McKeown BH, et al. Association between phonocardiographic third and fourth heart sounds and objective measures of left ventricular function. JAMA. May 11 2005; 293(18): 2238-44. PMID 15886379
6. Stevenson LW, Perloff JK. The limited reliability of physical signs for estimating hemodynamics in chronic heart failure. JAMA. Feb 10 1989; 261(6): 884-8. PMID 2913385
7. Gaggin HK, Januzzi JL. Biomarkers and diagnostics in heart failure. Biochim Biophys Acta. Dec 2013; 1832(12): 2442-50. PMID 23313577
8. McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012: The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure 2012 of the European Society of Cardiology. Developed in collaboration with the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. Eur J Heart Fail. Aug 2012; 14(8): 803-69. PMID 22828712
9. Lindenfeld J, Albert NM, Boehmer JP, et al. HFSA 2010 Comprehensive Heart Failure Practice Guideline. J Card Fail. Jun 2010; 16(6): e1-194. PMID 20610207
10. Savarese G, Trimarco B, Dellegrottaglie S, et al. Natriuretic peptide-guided therapy in chronic heart failure: a meta-analysis of 2,686 patients in 12 randomized trials. PLoS One. 2013; 8(3): e58287. PMID 23472172
11. Bhardwaj A, Januzzi JL. ST2: a novel biomarker for heart failure. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. May 2010; 10(4): 459-64. PMID 20465500
12. Chowdhury P, Kehl D, Choudhary R, et al. The use of biomarkers in the patient with heart failure. Curr Cardiol Rep. Jun 2013; 15(6): 372. PMID 23644993
13. Ciccone MM, Cortese F, Gesualdo M, et al. A novel cardiac bio-marker: ST2: a review. Molecules. Dec 11 2013; 18(12): 15314-28. PMID 24335613
14. Daniels LB, Bayes-Genis A. Using ST2 in cardiovascular patients: a review. Future Cardiol. Jul 2014; 10(4): 525-39. PMID 25301315
15. Dieplinger B, Mueller T. Soluble ST2 in heart failure. Clin Chim Acta. Mar 30 2015; 443: 57-70. PMID 25269091
16. Mueller T, Dieplinger B. The Presage((R)) ST2 Assay: analytical considerations and clinical applications for a high-sensitivity assay for measurement of soluble ST2. Expert Rev Mol Diagn. Jan 2013; 13(1): 13-30. PMID 23256700
17. Shah RV, Januzzi JL. ST2: a novel remodeling biomarker in acute and chronic heart failure. Curr Heart Fail Rep. Mar 2010; 7(1): 9-14. PMID 20425491
18. ~~Xu D, Chan WL, Leung BP, et al. Selective expression of a stable cell surface molecule on type 2 but not type 1 helper T cells. J Exp Med. Mar 02 1998; 187(5): 787-94. PMID 9480988~~
19. Weinberg EO, Shimpo M, De Keulenaer GW, et al. Expression and regulation of ST2, an interleukin-1 receptor family member, in cardiomyocytes and myocardial infarction. Circulation. Dec 03 2002; 106(23): 2961-6. PMID 12460879

20. Cohn JN, Tognoni G. A randomized trial of the angiotensin-receptor blocker valsartan in chronic heart failure. N Engl J Med. Dec 06 2001; 345(23): 1667-75. PMID 11759645
21. O'Connor CM, Whellan DJ, Lee KL, et al. Efficacy and safety of exercise training in patients with chronic heart failure: HF-ACTION randomized controlled trial. JAMA. Apr 08 2009; 301(14): 1439-50. PMID 19351941
22. Kjekshus J, Apetrei E, Barrios V, et al. Rosuvastatin in older patients with systolic heart failure. N Engl J Med. Nov 29 2007; 357(22): 2248-61. PMID 17984166
23. Ky B, French B, McCloskey K, et al. High-sensitivity ST2 for prediction of adverse outcomes in chronic heart failure. Circ Heart Fail. Mar 2011; 4(2): 180-7. PMID 21178018
24. Bayes-Genis A, de Antonio M, Galan A, et al. Combined use of high-sensitivity ST2 and NTproBNP to improve the prediction of death in heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail. Jan 2012; 14(1): 32-8. PMID 22179033
25. Broch K, Ueland T, Nymo SH, et al. Soluble ST2 is associated with adverse outcome in patients with heart failure of ischaemic aetiology. Eur J Heart Fail. Mar 2012; 14(3): 268-77. PMID 22302661
26. Felker GM, Fiuzat M, Thompson V, et al. Soluble ST2 in ambulatory patients with heart failure: Association with functional capacity and long-term outcomes. Circ Heart Fail. Nov 2013; 6(6): 1172-9. PMID 24103327
27. Gaggin HK, Motiwala S, Bhardwaj A, et al. Soluble concentrations of the interleukin receptor family member ST2 and -blocker therapy in chronic heart failure. Circ Heart Fail. Nov 2013; 6(6): 1206-13. PMID 24114865
28. Anand IS, Rector TS, Kuskowski M, et al. Prognostic value of soluble ST2 in the Valsartan Heart Failure Trial. Circ Heart Fail. May 2014; 7(3): 418-26. PMID 24622243
29. Zhang R, Zhang Y, An T, et al. Prognostic value of sST2 and galectin-3 for death relative to renal function in patients hospitalized for heart failure. Biomark Med. 2015; 9(5): 433-41. PMID 25985174
30. Dupuy AM, Curinier C, Kuster N, et al. Multi-Marker Strategy in Heart Failure: Combination of ST2 and CRP Predicts Poor Outcome. PLoS One. 2016; 11(6): e0157159. PMID 27311068
31. Aimo A, Vergaro G, Passino C, et al. Prognostic Value of Soluble Suppression of Tumorigenicity-2 in Chronic Heart Failure: A Meta-Analysis. JACC Heart Fail. Apr 2017; 5(4): 280-286. PMID 27816512
32. Januzzi JL, Horne BD, Moore SA, et al. Interleukin receptor family member ST2 concentrations in patients following heart transplantation. Biomarkers. May 2013; 18(3): 250-6. PMID 23557127
33. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. J Card Fail. Aug 2017; 23(8): 628-651. PMID 28461259