



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy Peripheral Subcutaneous Field Stimulation

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)

Policy Number: 513

BCBSA Reference Number: 7.01.139 (For Plan internal use only)

NCD/LCD: NA

Related Policies

- Occipital Nerve Stimulation, #[237](#)
- Percutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Percutaneous Neuromodulation Therapy, #[172](#)
- Spinal Cord Stimulation, #[472](#)
- Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation, #[003](#)

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POSvc), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation is [INVESTIGATIONAL](#).

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is not a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is not a covered service.
Medicare HMO Blue SM	This is not a covered service.
Medicare PPO Blue SM	This is not a covered service.

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

CPT Codes

There is no specific CPT code for this service.

Description

Chronic Pain

Chronic, noncancer pain is responsible for a high burden of illness. Common types of chronic pain are lumbar and cervical back pain, chronic headaches, and abdominal pain. All of these conditions can be challenging to treat.

Treatment

Pharmacologic agents are typically the first-line treatment for chronic pain, and several classes of medications are available. These include analgesics (opioid and nonopioid), antidepressants, anticonvulsants, and muscle relaxants. A variety of nonpharmacologic treatments also exist, including physical therapy, exercise, cognitive-behavioral interventions, acupuncture, chiropractic, and therapeutic massage.

Neuromodulation, a form of nonpharmacologic therapy, is usually targeted toward patients with chronic pain refractory to other modalities. Some forms of neuromodulation, such as transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and spinal cord stimulation, are established methods of chronic pain treatment. Peripheral nerve stimulation, which involves placement of an electrical stimulator on a peripheral nerve, is also used for neuropathic pain originating from peripheral nerves.

Peripheral Subcutaneous Field Stimulation

Peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation is a modification of peripheral nerve stimulation. In peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation, leads are placed subcutaneously within the area of maximal pain. The objective of peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation is to stimulate the region of affected nerves, cutaneous afferents, or the dermatomal distribution of the nerves, which then converge back on the spinal cord. Combination spinal cord stimulation plus peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation is also being evaluated.

Similar to spinal cord stimulation or peripheral nerve stimulation, permanent implantation is preceded by a trial of percutaneous stimulation with at least 50% pain reduction. Currently, there is no consensus on the indications for peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation. Criteria for a trial of peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation may include a clearly defined, discrete focal area of pain with a neuropathic or combined somatic/neuropathic pain component with characteristics of burning and increased sensitivity, and failure to respond to other conservative treatments including medications, psychological therapies, physical therapies, surgery, and pain management programs.

The mechanism of action in peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation is unknown. Theories include an increase in endogenous endorphins and other opiate-like substances; modulation of smaller A delta and C nerve fibers by stimulated large-diameter A beta fibers; local stimulation of nerve endings in the skin; local anti-inflammatory and membrane-depolarizing effect; or a central action via antegrade activation of A beta nerve fibers. Complications of peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation include lead migration or breakage and infection of the lead or neurostimulator.

Summary

Peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation is a form of neuromodulation intended to treat chronic neuropathic pain. Applications of peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation being evaluated are craniofacial stimulation for headache and migraine, craniofacial pain, or occipital neuralgia. Peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation is also being investigated for low back pain, neck and shoulder pain, inguinal and pelvic pain, thoracic pain, abdominal pain, fibromyalgia, and postherpetic neuralgia.

For individuals who have chronic neuropathic pain who receive peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation, the evidence includes 4 RCTs , a nonrandomized comparative study, and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One RCT, McRoberts et al (2013), which used a crossover design, did not compare peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation with alternatives. Rather, it compared different methods of peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation. Among trial participants, 24 (80%) of 30 patients had at least a 50% reduction in pain with any type of peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation. However, because the RCT did not include a sham group or comparator with a different active intervention, this trial offers little evidence for efficacy beyond that of a prospective, uncontrolled study. Another RCT by Johnson et al (2021) compared sham to external non-invasive peripheral electrical nerve stimulation, but found no significant differences in pain scores between groups after intervention. A third small, pilot RCT by Ilfeld et al (2021) found significantly reduced opioid consumption and mean daily pain scores within the first 7 postoperative days in subjects receiving foot, ankle, knee, or shoulder surgery. However, differences in average pain, worst pain, and Defense and Veterans Pain Rating Scale scores were not significantly different between treatment and sham groups following completion of the treatment period on postoperative days 15 and 30. A fourth small, pilot feasibility RCT by Albright-Trainer et al (2022) compared peripheral nerve stimulation with standard medical care to standard medical care alone in veterans undergoing lower extremity amputation. Greater reductions in average phantom limb pain, residual limb pain, and daily opioid consumption were reported through 3 months with the addition of peripheral nerve stimulation. Case series are insufficient to evaluate patient outcomes due to the variable nature of pain and the subjective nature of pain outcome measures. Larger, prospective controlled trials comparing peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation with placebo or alternative treatment modalities are needed to determine the efficacy of peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation for chronic pain. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Policy History

Date	Action
6/2023	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
6/2022	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
5/2021	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
6/2020	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
5/2019	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
5/2017	Annual policy review. New references added.
1/2017	Clarified coding information for the 2017 code changes.
5/2015	Annual policy review. New references added.. Clarified coding language
9/2013	New medical policy describing investigational indications. Effective 9/1/2013.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

- [Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)
- [Managed Care Guidelines](#)
- [Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)
- [Clinical Exception Process](#)
- [Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. McRoberts WP, Wolkowitz R, Meyer DJ, et al. Peripheral nerve field stimulation for the management of localized chronic intractable back pain: results from a randomized controlled study. *Neuromodulation*. 2013; 16(6): 565-74; discussion 574-5. PMID 23577773

2. Johnson S, Marshall A, Hughes D, et al. Mechanistically informed non-invasive peripheral nerve stimulation for peripheral neuropathic pain: a randomised double-blind sham-controlled trial. *J Transl Med.* Nov 06 2021; 19(1): 458. PMID 34742297
3. Ilfeld BM, Plunkett A, Vijjeswarapu AM, et al. Percutaneous Peripheral Nerve Stimulation (Neuromodulation) for Postoperative Pain: A Randomized, Sham-controlled Pilot Study. *Anesthesiology.* Jul 01 2021; 135(1): 95-110. PMID 33856424
4. Albright-Trainer B, Phan T, Trainer RJ, et al. Peripheral nerve stimulation for the management of acute and subacute post-amputation pain: a randomized, controlled feasibility trial. *Pain Manag. Apr 2022; 12(3): 357-369.* PMID 34761694
5. Mironer YE, Hutcheson JK, Satterthwaite JR, et al. Prospective, two-part study of the interaction between spinal cord stimulation and peripheral nerve field stimulation in patients with low back pain: development of a new spinal-peripheral neurostimulation method. *Neuromodulation.* 2011; 14(2): 151-4; discussion 155. PMID 21992203
6. Kloimstein H, Likar R, Kern M, et al. Peripheral nerve field stimulation (PNFS) in chronic low back pain: a prospective multicenter study. *Neuromodulation.* Feb 2014; 17(2): 180-7. PMID 24320718
7. Sator-Katzenschlager S, Fiala K, Kress HG, et al. Subcutaneous target stimulation (STS) in chronic noncancer pain: a nationwide retrospective study. *Pain Pract.* 2010; 10(4): 279-86. PMID 20230450
8. Verrills P, Vivian D, Mitchell B, et al. Peripheral nerve field stimulation for chronic pain: 100 cases and review of the literature. *Pain Med.* Sep 2011; 12(9): 1395-405. PMID 21812906
9. Verrills P, Rose R, Mitchell B, et al. Peripheral nerve field stimulation for chronic headache: 60 cases and long-term follow-up. *Neuromodulation.* Jan 2014; 17(1): 54-9. PMID 24165152
10. Warner NS, Schaefer KK, Eldrige JS, et al. Peripheral Nerve Stimulation and Clinical Outcomes: A Retrospective Case Series. *Pain Pract.* Apr 2021; 21(4): 411-418. PMID 33222402
11. Strand N, D'Souza RS, Hagedorn JM, et al. Evidence-Based Clinical Guidelines from the American Society of Pain and Neuroscience for the Use of Implantable Peripheral Nerve Stimulation in the Treatment of Chronic Pain. *J Pain Res.* 2022; 15: 2483-2504. PMID 36039168
12. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Peripheral nerve-field stimulation for chronic low back pain [IPG451]. 2013; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg451>. Accessed March 28, 2023.