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Scintimammography and Gamma Imaging of the Breast and Axilla
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Policy Number: 494
BCBSA Reference Number: 6.01.18 (For Plan internal use only)
NCD/LCD: N/A

Related Policies
None

Policy
Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity
Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Scintimammography, breast-specific gamma imaging (BSGI), and molecular breast imaging (MBI) are INVESTIGATIONAL in all applications, including, but not limited to their use as an adjunct to mammography or in staging the axillary lymph nodes.

Use of gamma detection following radiopharmaceutical administration for localization of sentinel lymph nodes in individuals with breast cancer may be considered MEDICALLY NECESSARY.

Prior Authorization Information
Inpatient
- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization IS REQUIRED for all products if the procedure is performed inpatient.

Outpatient
- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization might be required if the procedure is performed outpatient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product Type</th>
<th>Prior Authorization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)</td>
<td>not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial PPO and Indemnity</td>
<td>not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare HMO BlueSM</td>
<td>not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare PPO BlueSM</td>
<td>not required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes
Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above medical necessity criteria MUST be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78800</td>
<td>Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, single area (eg, head, neck, chest, pelvis), single day imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78801</td>
<td>Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, 2 or more areas (eg, abdomen and pelvis, head and chest), 1 or more days imaging or single area imaging over 2 or more days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCPCS Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCPCS codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A9500</td>
<td>Technetium tc-99m sestamibi, diagnostic, per study dose</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following HCPCS code is considered investigational for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

HCPCS Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCPCS codes:</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S8080</td>
<td>Scintimammography (radioimmunoscntigraphy of the breast), unilateral, including supply of radiopharmaceutical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DESCRIPTION

Mammography
Mammography is the main screening modality for breast cancer, despite its limitations in terms of less than ideal sensitivity and specificity. Limitations of mammography are a particular issue for women at high-risk of breast cancer, for whom cancer risk exceeds the inconvenience of more frequent screening, starting at a younger age, with more frequent false-positive results. Furthermore, the sensitivity of mammography is lower in women with radiographically dense breasts, which is more common among younger women. The clinical utility of adjunctive screening tests is primarily in the evaluation of women with inconclusive results on mammography. A biopsy is generally performed on a breast lesion if imaging cannot rule out malignancy with certainty. Therefore, adjunctive tests will be most useful in women with inconclusive mammograms if they have a high negative predictive value and can preclude the need for biopsy. Additional imaging for asymptomatic women who have dense breasts and negative mammograms has been suggested but the best approach is subject to debate.

Scintimammography
Scintimammography is a diagnostic modality using radiopharmaceuticals to detect breast tumors. After intravenous injection of a radiopharmaceutical, the breast is evaluated using planar imaging. Scintimammography is performed with the patient lying prone, and the camera positioned laterally, which increases the distance between the breast and the camera. Special camera positioning to include the axilla may be included when the area of interest is an evaluation for axillary metastases. Scintimammography using conventional imaging modalities has relatively poor sensitivity in detecting smaller lesions (eg, <15 mm), because of the relatively poor resolution of conventional gamma cameras in imaging the breast.

**Breast-Specific Gamma Imaging**

BSGI and molecular breast imaging (MBI) were developed to address the poor resolution of conventional gamma cameras. Breast-specific gamma cameras acquire images while the patient is seated in a position similar to that in mammography and the breast is lightly compressed. Detector heads are immediately next to the breast, increasing resolution, and images can be compared with mammographic images. BSGI and MBI differ primarily in the number and type of detectors used (eg, multicrystal arrays of cesium iodide or sodium iodide, or nonscintillating, semiconductor materials, such as cadmium zinc telluride). In some configurations, a detector is placed on each side of the breast and used to compress it lightly. The maximum distance between the detector and the breast is therefore from the surface to the midpoint of the breast. The radiotracer typically used is technetium 99m (Tc 99m) sestamibi, and MBI takes approximately 40 minutes.

**Lymphoscintigraphy and Hand-Held Gamma Detection**

Preoperative lymphoscintigraphy and/or intraoperative hand-held gamma detection of sentinel lymph nodes is a method of identifying sentinel lymph nodes for a biopsy after radiotracer injection. Surgical removal of one or more sentinel lymph nodes is an alternative to full axillary lymph node dissection for staging evaluation and management of breast cancer. Several trials have compared outcomes following sentinel lymph node biopsy with axillary lymph node dissection for managing patients who have breast cancer. The National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project trial B-32 examined whether sentinel lymph node dissection provides similar survival and regional control as full axillary lymph node dissection in the surgical staging and management of patients with clinically invasive breast cancer. This multicenter randomized controlled trial included 5611 women and observed statistically similar results for overall survival, disease-free survival, and regional control based on 8-year Kaplan-Meier estimates. An additional 3-year follow-up of morbidity after surgical node dissection revealed lower morbidity in the sentinel lymph node dissection group, including lower rates of arm swelling, numbness, tingling, and fewer early shoulder abduction deficits. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis by Ram et al (2014) reported no significant difference in overall survival (hazard ratio, 0.94; 95% confidence interval, 0.79 to 1.19), no significant difference in disease-free survival (hazard ratio, 0.83; 95% confidence interval, 0.60 to 1.14), and similar rates of locoregional recurrence. However, axillary node dissection was associated with significantly greater surgical morbidity (eg, wound infection, arm swelling, motor neuropathy, numbness) than sentinel node biopsy.

**Radiopharmaceuticals**

**Scintimammography, Breast-Specific Gamma Imaging, and Molecular Breast Imaging**

The primary radiopharmaceutical used with BSGI or MBI is Tc 99m sestamibi. The product label states that Tc 99m sestamibi is “indicated for planar imaging as a second-line diagnostic drug after mammography to assist in the evaluation of breast lesions in patients with an abnormal mammogram or a palpable breast mass. Technetium Tc-99m sestamibi is not indicated for breast cancer screening, to confirm the presence or absence of malignancy, and it is not an alternative to biopsy.”

Technetium TC-99m tetrofosmin (Myoview™), a gamma-emitter used in some BSGI studies, is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) only for cardiac imaging.

**Lymphoscintigraphy and/or Hand-Held Gamma Detection**

The primary radiopharmaceuticals used for lymphoscintigraphy include Tc 99m pertechnetate-labeled colloids and Tc 99m tilmanocept (Lymphoseek). Whereas, Tc 99m sulfur colloid may frequently be used
for intraoperative injection and detection of sentinel lymph nodes using hand-held gamma detection probe.

**Radiation Exposure**

Scintimammography, Breast-Specific Gamma Imaging, and Molecular Breast Imaging

The radiation dose associated with BSGI is substantial for diagnostic breast imaging modalities. According to Appropriateness Criteria from the American College of Radiology, the radiation dose from BSGI is 10 to 30 mSv, which is 15 to 30 times higher than the dose from a digital mammogram. According to the American College of Radiology, at these levels, BSGI is not indicated for breast cancer screening.

According to a study by Hruska and O'Connor (2015; who reported receiving royalties from licensed technologies by an agreement with Mayo Clinic and Gamma Medica), the effective dose from a lower "off-label" administered dose of 240 to 300 MBq (6.5-8 mCi) of Tc 99m sestamibi that is made feasible with newer dual-head MBI systems, is 2.0 to 2.5 mSv. For comparison, the effective dose (ie, mean glandular dose) of digital mammography is estimated to be about 0.5 mSv. However, it is important to note that the dose for MBI is given to the entire body. The authors compared this dose with the estimated annual background radiation, which varies worldwide between 2.5 mSv and 10 mSv, and asserted that the effective dose from MBI "is considered safe for use in routine screening."

Hendrick (2010) calculated mean glandular doses and lifetime attributable risks of cancer due to film mammography, digital mammography, BSGI, and positron emission mammography (PEM). The author, a consultant to GE Healthcare and a member of the medical advisory boards of Koning (manufacturer of dedicated breast computed tomography) and Bracco (magnetic resonance contrast agents), used group risk estimates from the Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiation VII report to assess the risk of radiation-induced cancer and mortality from breast imaging studies. For a patient with average-sized breasts (compressed thickness during mammography of 5.3 cm per breast), estimated lifetime attributable risks of cancer at age 40 were:

- 5 per 100000 for digital mammography (breast cancer only),
- 7 per 100000 for screen-film mammography (breast cancer only),
- 55 to 82 per 100000 for BSGI (depending on the dose of Tc 99m sestamibi), and
- 75 per 100000 for PEM.

Corresponding lifetime attributable risks of cancer mortality at age 40 were:

- 1.3 per 100000 for digital mammography (breast cancer only),
- 1.7 per 100000 for screen-film mammography (breast cancer only),
- 26 to 39 per 100000 for BSGI, and
- 31 per 100000 for PEM.

A major difference in the impact of radiation between mammography and BSGI or PEM is that, for mammography, the substantial radiation dose is limited to the breast. With BSGI and PEM, all organs are irradiated, increasing the risks associated with radiation exposure.

Although the use of BSGI (or MBI) has been proposed for women at high-risk of breast cancer, there is controversy and speculation over whether some women (eg, those with BRCA variants) have a heightened radiosensitivity. If women with BRCA variants are more radiosensitive than the general population, studies may underestimate the risks of breast imaging with ionizing radiation (ie, mammography, BSGI, MBI, positron emission mammography, single-photon emission computed tomography/computed tomography, breast-specific computed tomography, tomosynthesis) in these women. In contrast, ultrasonography and MRI do not use radiation. More research is needed to resolve this issue. Also, the risk associated with radiation exposure will be greater for women at high-risk of breast cancer, whether or not they are more radiosensitive because they start screening at a younger age when the risks associated with radiation exposure are greater. In addition, a large, high-quality, head-to-
head comparison of BSGI (or MBI) and MRI would be needed, especially for women at high-risk of breast cancer, because MRI, alternated with mammography, is currently the recommended screening technique.

Notes: The term molecular breast imaging is used in different ways, sometimes for any type of breast imaging involving molecular imaging, including PEM, and sometimes it is used synonymously with the term breast-specific gamma camera, as used in this review.

Use of single-photon emission computed tomography and positron emission tomography of the breast are not addressed in this review.

Summary
Scintimammography, breast-specific gamma imaging (BSGI), and molecular breast imaging (MBI) use radiotracers with nuclear medicine imaging as a diagnostic tool for abnormalities of the breast. These tests are distinguished by the use of differing gamma camera technology, which may improve diagnostic performance for detecting small lesions. BSGI uses a single-head breast-specific gamma camera and a compression device; whereas, MBI uses dual-head breast-specific gamma cameras that also produce breast compression. Preoperative lymphoscintigraphy and/or intraoperative hand-held gamma detection of sentinel lymph nodes is a method of identifying sentinel lymph nodes for a biopsy after radiotracer injection. Surgical removal of one or more sentinel lymph nodes is an alternative to full axillary lymph node dissection for staging, evaluation, and management of breast cancer.

Scintimammography, Breast-Specific Gamma Imaging, and Molecular Breast Imaging for Diagnosis
For individuals who have dense breasts or high-risk for breast cancer who receive scintimammography, BSGI, or MBI as an adjunct to mammography, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related morbidity. Three prospective studies have assessed the incremental difference in diagnostic accuracy when BSGI or MBI is added to mammography in women at increased risk. Sensitivity was higher with combined BSGI or MBI and mammography but specificity was lower. A retrospective study found improved diagnostic accuracy and specificity with BSGI compared to ultrasonography when added to mammography. Studies of women at increased risk of breast cancer and negative mammograms found that a small number of additional cancers were detected. Studies tended to include women at different risk levels (eg, women with dense breasts and those with BRCA1). Moreover, any potential benefits need to be weighed against the potential risks of additional radiation exposure. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have indeterminate or suspicious breast lesions who receive scintimammography, BSGI, or MBI, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related morbidity. In the available studies, compared with biopsy, the negative predictive value of BSGI (or MBI) varied from 83% to 94%. Given the relative ease and diagnostic accuracy of the criterion standard of biopsy, coupled with the adverse consequences of missing a breast cancer, the negative predictive value of BSGI (or MBI) would have to be extremely high to alter treatment decisions. The evidence to date does not demonstrate this level of negative predictive value. Moreover, the value of BSGI in evaluating indeterminate or suspicious lesions must be compared with other modalities that would be used, such as spot views for diagnostic mammography. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have breast cancer undergoing detection of residual tumor after neoadjuvant therapy who receive scintimammography and BSGI, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies and a meta-analysis. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related morbidity. The meta-analysis of studies evaluating the accuracy of BSGI for detecting residual tumor after neoadjuvant therapy found a pooled sensitivity of 86% and a pooled specificity of 69%, compared with histopathologic analysis. No studies were identified that compared the diagnostic accuracy of BSGI with other imaging approaches, or that investigated the clinical utility of this potential application of BSGI. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.
For individuals who have breast cancer undergoing surgical planning for breast-conserving therapy who receive scintimammography and BSGI for disease detection, the evidence includes a retrospective observational study. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related morbidity. In the retrospective study, results suggested that magnetic resonance imaging identified more patients than BSGI who were not appropriate candidates for breast-conserving therapy. Prospective comparative studies are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Scintimammography and Breast-Specific Gamma Imaging for Treatment**

For individuals who have breast cancer undergoing detection of axillary metastases who receive scintimammography and BSGI, the evidence includes diagnostic accuracy studies and systematic reviews of diagnostic accuracy studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related morbidity. A meta-analysis of the available diagnostic accuracy studies found that the sensitivity and specificity of BSGI are not high enough for this technology to replace the current standard practice, surgical nodal dissection. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Radiopharmaceutical and Gamma Detection for Treatment**

For individuals who have breast cancer undergoing sentinel lymph node biopsy for detection of axillary metastases who receive radiopharmaceutical and gamma detection for localization of sentinel lymph nodes, the evidence includes 3 studies and 3 meta-analyses. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, test validity, and treatment-related morbidity. Evidence indicates that using radiopharmaceutical and gamma detection for localization of sentinel lymph nodes yields high success rates in identifying sentinel lymph nodes; additionally, the diagnostic performance generally offers better detection rates with radiopharmaceutical than with the blue dye method and similar detection rates to indocyanine green fluorescence. The evidence has indicated that sentinel lymph node biopsy provides similar long-term outcomes as full axillary lymph node dissection for control of breast cancer and offers more favorable early results with reduced arm swelling and better quality of life. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.
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**Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies**

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

- Medical Policy Terms of Use
- Managed Care Guidelines
- Indemnity/PPO Guidelines
- Clinical Exception Process
- Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines
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