



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Percutaneous Vertebroplasty and Sacroplasty

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Description](#)
- [References](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Endnotes](#)

Policy Number: 484

BCBSA Reference Number: 6.01.25 (For Plan internal use only)

Related Policies

- Percutaneous Balloon Kyphoplasty, Radiofrequency Kyphoplasty, and Mechanical Vertebral Augmentation, #485
- Diagnosis and Treatment of Sacroiliac Joint Pain, #320

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Percutaneous vertebroplasty may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of:

- Symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures that have failed to respond to conservative treatment (e.g., analgesics, physical therapy and rest) for at least 6 weeks, or
- Severe pain due to osteolytic lesions of the spine related to multiple myeloma or metastatic malignancies.

And when:¹

- There is a high degree of certainty through targeted, documented physical exam and ancillary studies (e.g., x-ray, MRI, CT, fluoroscopy, bone scan), that the pain is being caused by a non-healing fracture, AND
- The procedure is not being performed on a prophylactic basis, either for osteoporosis of the spine or chronic back pain, even if associated with old, healed compression fracture(s).

Percutaneous vertebroplasty may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures that are less than 6 weeks in duration that have led to hospitalization or persist at a level that prevents ambulation.

Percutaneous vertebroplasty is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for all other indications, including use in acute vertebral fractures due to osteoporosis or trauma.

Percutaneous sacroplasty is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for all indications, including use in sacral insufficiency fractures due to osteoporosis and sacral lesions due to multiple myeloma or metastatic malignancies.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

| | Outpatient |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS) | Prior authorization is required . |
| Commercial PPO | Prior authorization is required . |

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above medical necessity criteria MUST be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO and Indemnity:

CPT Codes

| CPT codes: | Code Description |
|------------|--|
| 22510 | Percutaneous vertebroplasty (bone biopsy included when performed), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral injection, inclusive of all imaging guidance; cervicothoracic |
| 22511 | Percutaneous vertebroplasty (bone biopsy included when performed), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral injection, inclusive of all imaging guidance; lumbosacral |
| 22512 | Percutaneous vertebroplasty (bone biopsy included when performed), 1 vertebral body, unilateral or bilateral injection, inclusive of all imaging guidance; each additional cervicothoracic or lumbosacral vertebral body (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) |

ICD-10 Procedure Codes

| ICD-10-PCS procedure codes: | Code Description |
|-----------------------------|--|
| 0PU33JZ | Supplement Cervical Vertebra with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0PS33ZZ | Reposition Cervical Vertebra, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0PS43ZZ | Reposition Thoracic Vertebra, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0PU34JZ | Supplement Cervical Vertebra with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Endoscopic Approach |
| 0PU43JZ | Supplement Thoracic Vertebra with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0PU44JZ | Supplement Thoracic Vertebra with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Endoscopic Approach |
| 0QS03ZZ | Reposition Lumbar Vertebra, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0QS13ZZ | Reposition Sacrum, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0QSS3ZZ | Reposition Coccyx, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0QU03JZ | Supplement Lumbar Vertebra with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Approach |

| | |
|---------|--|
| 0QU04JZ | Supplement Lumbar Vertebra with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Endoscopic Approach |
| 0QU13JZ | Supplement Sacrum with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Approach |
| 0QU14JZ | Supplement Sacrum with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Endoscopic Approach |
| 0QUS3JZ | Supplement Coccyx with Synthetic Substitute, Percutaneous Approach |

The following CPT codes are considered investigational for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

| CPT codes: | Code Description |
|------------|--|
| 0200T | Percutaneous sacral augmentation (sacroplasty), unilateral injection(s), including the use of a balloon or mechanical device, when used, 1 or more needles |
| 0201T | Percutaneous sacral augmentation (sacroplasty), bilateral injections, including the use of a balloon or mechanical device, when used, 2 or more needles |

Description

Treatment of Vertebral Compression Fracture

Chronic symptoms do not tend to respond to the management strategies for acute pain such as bed rest, immobilization or bracing device, and analgesic medication, sometimes including narcotic analgesics. The source of chronic pain after vertebral compression fracture may not be from the vertebra itself but may be predominantly related to strain on muscles and ligaments secondary to kyphosis. This type of pain frequently does not improve with analgesics and may be better addressed through exercise or physical therapy. Improvements in pain and ability to function are the principal outcomes of interest for treatment of osteoporotic fractures.

Treatment of Sacral Insufficiency Fractures

Similar interventions are used for sacral and vertebral fractures and include bed rest, bracing, and analgesics. Initial clinical improvements may occur quickly; however, resolution of all symptoms may not occur for 9 to 12 months.^{1,2}

Vertebral and Sacral Body Metastasis

Metastatic malignant disease of the spine generally involves the vertebrae/sacrum, with pain being the most frequent complaint.

Treatment of Vertebral and Sacral Body Metastasis

While radiotherapy and chemotherapy are frequently effective in reducing tumor burden and associated symptoms, pain relief may be delayed days to weeks, depending on tumor response. Further, these approaches rely on bone remodeling to regain strength in the vertebrae/sacrum, which may necessitate supportive bracing to minimize the risk of vertebral/sacral collapse during healing. Improvements in pain and function are the primary outcomes of interest for treatment of bone malignancy with percutaneous vertebroplasty or sacroplasty.

Surgical Treatment Options

Percutaneous Vertebroplasty

Vertebroplasty is a surgical procedure that involves the injection of synthetic cement (eg, polymethylmethacrylate, bis-glycidyl dimethacrylate [Cortoss]³) into a fractured vertebra. It has been suggested that vertebroplasty may provide an analgesic effect through mechanical stabilization of a fractured or otherwise weakened vertebral body. However, other mechanisms of effect have been postulated, including thermal damage to intraosseous nerve fibers.

Percutaneous Sacroplasty

Sacroplasty evolved from the treatment of insufficiency fractures in the thoracic and lumbar vertebrae with vertebroplasty. The procedure, essentially identical to vertebroplasty, entails guided injection of polymethylmethacrylate through a needle inserted into the fracture zone. Although first described in 2000

as a treatment for symptomatic sacral metastatic lesions,^{4,5} it is most often described as a minimally invasive alternative to conservative management^{6,7,8} for sacral insufficiency fractures.

Pain and function are subjective outcomes and, thus, may be susceptible to placebo effects. Furthermore, the natural history of pain and disability associated with these conditions may vary. Therefore, controlled comparison studies would be valuable to demonstrate the clinical effectiveness of vertebroplasty and sacroplasty over and above any associated nonspecific or placebo effects and to demonstrate the effect of treatment compared with alternatives such as continued medical management.

In all clinical situations, adverse events related to complications from vertebroplasty and sacroplasty are the primary harms to be considered. Principal safety concerns relate to the incidence and consequences of leakage of the injected polymethyl methacrylate or another injectate.

Summary

Percutaneous vertebroplasty is an interventional technique involving the fluoroscopically guided injection of polymethyl methacrylate into a weakened vertebral body. The technique has been investigated to provide mechanical support and symptomatic relief in individuals with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures or those with osteolytic lesions of the spine (eg, multiple myeloma, metastatic malignancies); as a treatment for sacral insufficiency fractures; and as a technique to limit blood loss related to surgery.

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures between 6 weeks and 1 year old who receive vertebroplasty, the evidence includes 2 randomized sham-controlled trials, nonblinded randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing vertebroplasty with conservative management, and several meta-analyses. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Despite the completion of multiple RCTs, including 2 with sham controls, the efficacy of vertebroplasty for painful osteoporotic compression fractures remains uncertain. Two meta-analysis studies that included the 2 sham-controlled trials have demonstrated mixed results. The 2 studies had methodologic issues, including the choice of sham procedure and the potential of the sham procedure to have a therapeutic effect by reducing pain. Questions have also been raised about the low percentage of individuals screened who participated in the trial, the volume of polymethylmethacrylate injected, and the inclusion of individuals with chronic pain. Other meta-analyses had numerous limitations due to the heterogeneity of included studies or not specifying the timeframe for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. Overall, conclusions about the effect of vertebroplasty remain unclear. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures less than 6 weeks old who receive vertebroplasty, the evidence includes a randomized sham-controlled trial and nonblinded RCTs comparing vertebroplasty with conservative management. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. For acute fractures, conservative therapy consisting of rest, analgesics, and physical therapy is an option, and symptoms will resolve in a large percentage of individuals with conservative treatment only. However, a sham-controlled randomized trial in individuals who had severe pain of fewer than 6 weeks in duration found a significant benefit of vertebroplasty for the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral fracture at the thoracolumbar junction. Other RCTs without sham controls have reported that vertebroplasty is associated with significant improvements in pain and reductions in the duration of bed rest. Given the high morbidity associated with extended bed rest in older adults, this procedure is considered to have a significant health benefit. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with sacral insufficiency fractures who receive sacroplasty, the evidence includes 2 prospective cohort studies and a case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. No RCTs have been reported. The available evidence includes a prospective cohort study and a retrospective series of 243 individuals. These studies have reported rapid and sustained decreases in pain following percutaneous

sacroplasty. Additional literature has mostly reported immediate improvements following the procedure. However, due to the small size of the evidence base, the harms associated with sacroplasty have not been adequately studied. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Additional Information

Vertebroplasty has been investigated as an intervention to provide mechanical support and symptomatic relief in individuals with an osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture and in those with osteolytic lesions of the spine (ie, multiple myeloma, metastatic malignancies). Clinical input obtained in 2008 provided uniform support for the use of vertebroplasty in painful osteoporotic fractures. Reconsideration of the available evidence and evaluation of the input led to a conclusion that, consistent results of numerous case series, including large prospective reports, the evidence was sufficient to determine that vertebroplasty is a reasonable treatment option in individuals with vertebral fractures who have failed to respond to conservative treatment (at least 6 weeks with analgesics, physical therapy, and rest). It is also clinically reasonable to consider the evidence supporting the clinical benefit of vertebroplasty in the osteoporotic vertebral fracture to support its use in osteolytic lesions of the spine (eg, multiple myeloma, metastatic malignancies).

Policy History

| Date | Action |
|----------------|---|
| 6/2022 | Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged. |
| 6/2022 | Prior authorization information clarified for PPO plans. Effective 6/1/2022. |
| 6/2021 | Annual policy review. Investigational policy statement edited for clarity. Policy statements otherwise unchanged. |
| 1/2021 | Medicare information removed. See MP #132 Medicare Advantage Management for local coverage determination and national coverage determination reference. |
| 6/2020 | Annual policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged. |
| 5/2019 | Annual policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged. |
| 5/2018 | Annual policy review. New references added. Summary clarified. |
| 10/2017 | Annual policy review. New medically necessary indications described. Effective 10/1/2017. |
| 9/2016 | Annual policy review. "Spinal lesions" in 3rd policy statement changed to "sacral lesions" to clarify the intent. References added. |
| 1/2016 | Clarified coding information. |
| 6/2015 | Annual policy review. New references added. |
| 1/2015 | Clarified coding information. |
| 7/2014 | Annual policy review. New references added. |
| 6/2014 | Updated Coding section with ICD10 procedure and diagnosis codes. Effective 10/2015. |
| 6/2013 | Annual policy review. New references added. |
| 11/2011-4/2012 | Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements. |
| 1/2012 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Neurology and Neurosurgery. No changes to policy statements. |
| 12/1/2011 | Annual policy review. Changes to policy statements. |
| 1/2011 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Neurology and Neurosurgery. No changes to policy statements. |
| 7/2010 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation Medicine and Rheumatology. No changes to policy statements. |
| 6/2010 | Annual policy review. Changes to policy statements. |
| 1/2010 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Neurology and Neurosurgery. No changes to policy statements. |

| | |
|----------|---|
| 7/2009 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation Medicine and Rheumatology. No changes to policy statements. |
| 6/1/2009 | New policy effective 6/1/2009 describing covered and non-covered indications. |
| 11/2008 | Annual policy review. No changes to policy statements. |
| 7/2008 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation Medicine and Rheumatology. No changes to policy statements. |
| 1/2008 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Neurology and Neurosurgery. No changes to policy statements. |
| 1/2007 | Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Neurology and Neurosurgery. No changes to policy statements. |

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Gotis-Graham I, McGuigan L, Diamond T, et al. Sacral insufficiency fractures in the elderly. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* Nov 1994; 76(6): 882-6. PMID 7983111
2. Lin J, Lachmann E, Nagler W. Sacral insufficiency fractures: a report of two cases and a review of the literature. *J Womens Health Gend Based Med.* Sep 2001; 10(7): 699-705. PMID 11571100
3. Bae H, Hatten HP, Linovitz R, et al. A prospective randomized FDA-IDE trial comparing Cortoss with PMMA for vertebroplasty: a comparative effectiveness research study with 24-month follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Apr 01 2012; 37(7): 544-50. PMID 21738093
4. Dehdashti AR, Martin JB, Jean B, et al. PMMA cementoplasty in symptomatic metastatic lesions of the S1 vertebral body. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* May-Jun 2000; 23(3): 235-7. PMID 10821903
5. Marcy PY, Palussiere J, Descamps B, et al. Percutaneous cementoplasty for pelvic bone metastasis. *Support Care Cancer.* Nov 2000; 8(6): 500-3. PMID 11094996
6. Aretxabala I, Fraiz E, Perez-Ruiz F, et al. Sacral insufficiency fractures. High association with pubic rami fractures. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2000; 19(5): 399-401. PMID 11055834
7. Leroux JL, Denat B, Thomas E, et al. Sacral insufficiency fractures presenting as acute low-back pain. Biomechanical aspects. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Dec 1993; 18(16): 2502-6. PMID 8303454
8. Newhouse KE, el-Khoury GY, Buckwalter JA. Occult sacral fractures in osteopenic patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Dec 1992; 74(10): 1472-7. PMID 1364816
9. Stratford PW, Binkley J, Solomon P, et al. Defining the minimum level of detectable change for the Roland-Morris questionnaire. *Phys Ther.* Apr 1996; 76(4): 359-65; discussion 366-8. PMID 8606899
10. Katz J, Melzack R. Measurement of pain. *Surg Clin North Am.* Apr 1999; 79(2): 231-52. PMID 10352653
11. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis or malignancy. *TEC Assessments.* 2005;Volume 20:Tab 6.
12. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis, malignancy, or hemangioma. *TEC Assessments.* 2004;Volume 19:Tab 13.
13. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis. *TEC Assessments.* 2010;Volume 25:Tab 9.
14. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis or malignancy. *TEC Assessments.* 2008;Volume 23:Tab 5.
15. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis. *TEC Assessments.* 2009;Volume 24:Tab 7.

16. Barr JD, Jensen ME, Hirsch JA, et al. Position statement on percutaneous vertebral augmentation: a consensus statement developed by the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS) and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS), American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), American Society of Spine Radiology (ASSR), Canadian Interventional Radiology Association (CIRA), and the Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS). *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Feb 2014; 25(2): 171-81. PMID 24325929
17. Buchbinder R, Johnston RV, Rischin KJ, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Apr 04 2018; 4: CD006349. PMID 29618171
18. Staples MP, Kallmes DF, Comstock BA, et al. Effectiveness of vertebroplasty using individual patient data from two randomised placebo controlled trials: meta-analysis. *BMJ*. Jul 12 2011; 343: d3952. PMID 21750078
19. Xie L, Zhao ZG, Zhang SJ, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: An updated meta-analysis of prospective randomized controlled trials. *Int J Surg*. Nov 2017; 47: 25-32. PMID 28939236
20. Hinde K, Maingard J, Hirsch JA, et al. Mortality Outcomes of Vertebral Augmentation (Vertebroplasty and/or Balloon Kyphoplasty) for Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Radiology*. Apr 2020; 295(1): 96-103. PMID 32068503
21. Zhang L, Zhai P. A Comparison of Percutaneous Vertebroplasty Versus Conservative Treatment in Terms of Treatment Effect for Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Meta-Analysis. *Surg Innov*. Feb 2020; 27(1): 19-25. PMID 31423902
22. Chang M, Zhang C, Shi J, et al. Comparison Between 7 Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures Treatments: Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg*. Jan 2021; 145: 462-470.e1. PMID 32891841
23. Buchbinder R, Osborne RH, Ebeling PR, et al. A randomized trial of vertebroplasty for painful osteoporotic vertebral fractures. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 06 2009; 361(6): 557-68. PMID 19657121
24. Kallmes DF, Comstock BA, Heagerty PJ, et al. A randomized trial of vertebroplasty for osteoporotic spinal fractures. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 06 2009; 361(6): 569-79. PMID 19657122
25. Kroon F, Staples M, Ebeling PR, et al. Two-year results of a randomized placebo-controlled trial of vertebroplasty for acute osteoporotic vertebral fractures. *J Bone Miner Res*. Jun 2014; 29(6): 1346-55. PMID 24967454
26. Ostelo RW, Deyo RA, Stratford P, et al. Interpreting change scores for pain and functional status in low back pain: towards international consensus regarding minimal important change. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Jan 01 2008; 33(1): 90-4. PMID 18165753
27. Comstock BA, Sitlani CM, Jarvik JG, et al. Investigational vertebroplasty safety and efficacy trial (INVEST): patient-reported outcomes through 1 year. *Radiology*. Oct 2013; 269(1): 224-31. PMID 23696683
28. Firanescu CE, de Vries J, Lodder P, et al. Vertebroplasty versus sham procedure for painful acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (VERTOS IV): randomised sham controlled clinical trial. *BMJ*. May 09 2018; 361: k1551. PMID 29743284
29. Chen D, An ZQ, Song S, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty compared with conservative treatment in patients with chronic painful osteoporotic spinal fractures. *J Clin Neurosci*. Mar 2014; 21(3): 473-7. PMID 24315046
30. Farrokhi MR, Alibai E, Maghami Z. Randomized controlled trial of percutaneous vertebroplasty versus optimal medical management for the relief of pain and disability in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. *J Neurosurg Spine*. May 2011; 14(5): 561-9. PMID 21375382
31. Edidin AA, Ong KL, Lau E, et al. Mortality risk for operated and nonoperated vertebral fracture patients in the medicare population. *J Bone Miner Res*. Jul 2011; 26(7): 1617-26. PMID 21308780
32. Edidin AA, Ong KL, Lau E, et al. Morbidity and Mortality After Vertebral Fractures: Comparison of Vertebral Augmentation and Nonoperative Management in the Medicare Population. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Aug 01 2015; 40(15): 1228-41. PMID 26020845
33. Lin JH, Chien LN, Tsai WL, et al. Early vertebroplasty associated with a lower risk of mortality and respiratory failure in aged patients with painful vertebral compression fractures: a population-based cohort study in Taiwan. *Spine J*. Sep 2017; 17(9): 1310-1318. PMID 28483705

34. Clark W, Bird P, Gonski P, et al. Safety and efficacy of vertebroplasty for acute painful osteoporotic fractures (VAPOUR): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. Oct 01 2016; 388(10052): 1408-1416. PMID 27544377
35. Klazen CA, Lohle PN, de Vries J, et al. Vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (Vertos II): an open-label randomised trial. *Lancet*. Sep 25 2010; 376(9746): 1085-92. PMID 20701962
36. Yi X, Lu H, Tian F, et al. Recompression in new levels after percutaneous vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty compared with conservative treatment. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. Jan 2014; 134(1): 21-30. PMID 24287674
37. Leali PT, Solla F, Maestretti G, et al. Safety and efficacy of vertebroplasty in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: a prospective multicenter international randomized controlled study. *Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab*. Sep-Dec 2016; 13(3): 234-236. PMID 28228788
38. Yang EZ, Xu JG, Huang GZ, et al. Percutaneous Vertebroplasty Versus Conservative Treatment in Aged Patients With Acute Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Clinical Study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Apr 2016; 41(8): 653-60. PMID 26630417
39. Lourie H. Spontaneous osteoporotic fracture of the sacrum. An unrecognized syndrome of the elderly. *JAMA*. Aug 13 1982; 248(6): 715-7. PMID 7097924
40. Frey ME, Depalma MJ, Cifu DX, et al. Percutaneous sacroplasty for osteoporotic sacral insufficiency fractures: a prospective, multicenter, observational pilot study. *Spine J*. Mar-Apr 2008; 8(2): 367-73. PMID 17981097
41. Kortman K, Ortiz O, Miller T, et al. Multicenter study to assess the efficacy and safety of sacroplasty in patients with osteoporotic sacral insufficiency fractures or pathologic sacral lesions. *J Neurointerv Surg*. Sep 01 2013; 5(5): 461-6. PMID 22684691
42. Frey ME, Warner C, Thomas SM, et al. Sacroplasty: A Ten-Year Analysis of Prospective Patients Treated with Percutaneous Sacroplasty: Literature Review and Technical Considerations. *Pain Physician*. Nov 2017; 20(7): E1063-E1072. PMID 29149151
43. Dougherty RW, McDonald JS, Cho YW, et al. Percutaneous sacroplasty using CT guidance for pain palliation in sacral insufficiency fractures. *J Neurointerv Surg*. Jan 2014; 6(1): 57-60. PMID 23345629
44. Zaman FM, Frey M, Slipman CW. Sacral stress fractures. *Curr Sports Med Rep*. Feb 2006; 5(1): 37-43. PMID 16483515
45. Denis F, Davis S, Comfort T. Sacral fractures: an important problem. Retrospective analysis of 236 cases. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Feb 1988; 227: 67-81. PMID 3338224
46. Shah LM, Jennings JW, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria (R) Management of Vertebral Compression Fractures. *J Am Coll Radiol*. Nov 2018; 15(11S): S347-S364. PMID 30392604
47. Baerlocher MO, Saad WE, Dariushnia S, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous vertebroplasty. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Feb 2014; 25(2): 165-70. PMID 24238815
48. McGuire R. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline: the Treatment of Symptomatic Osteoporotic Spinal Compression Fractures. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. Mar 2011; 19(3): 183-4. PMID 21368100
49. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous vertebroplasty [IPG12]. 2003; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg12>. Accessed February 21, 2022.
50. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous vertebroplasty and percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty for treating osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures [TA279]. 2013; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta279>. Accessed February 21, 2022.
51. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Metastatic spinal cord compression in adults: risk assessment, diagnosis and management [CG75]. 2008; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg75/chapter/1-guidance>. Accessed February 21, 2022.
52. Aman MM, Mahmoud A, Deer T, et al. The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN) Best Practices and Guidelines for the Interventional Management of Cancer-Associated Pain. *J Pain Res*. 2021; 14: 2139-2164. PMID 34295184

Endnotes

¹ Based on expert opinion