Medical Policy

**Extracorporeal Photopheresis**
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**Related Policies**
None

**Policy**

**Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity**
Extracorporeal photopheresis may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** to treat the following conditions:
- Cardiac allograft rejection, including acute rejection, that is either recurrent or that is refractory to standard immunosuppressive drug treatment
- Acute graft-versus-host disease or chronic graft-versus-host disease that is refractory to medical therapy
- Late-stage (III/IV) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, or
- Early stage (I/II) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma that is progressive and refractory to established non-systemic therapies.

Refractory rejection is defined as rejection that fails to respond adequately to a standard regimen of immunosuppressive therapy.

Extracorporeal photopheresis is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for the following conditions:
- Treatment or prevention of rejection in solid-organ transplantation except for rejection that is recurrent or refractory to standard immunosuppressive drug treatment
- Acute graft-versus-host disease or chronic graft-versus-host disease, that is either previously untreated or is responding to established therapies
- Cutaneous or visceral manifestations of autoimmune diseases, including but not limited to scleroderma, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, pemphigus, psoriasis, multiple sclerosis, diabetes, or autoimmune bullous disorders, severe atopic dermatitis, or Crohn disease.
- Early stage (I/II) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma that is either previously untreated or is responding to established nonsystemic therapies.
Extracorporeal photopheresis is **INVESTIGATIONAL** for all other indications.

**Prior Authorization Information**

**Inpatient**
- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

**Outpatient**
- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)</th>
<th>Prior authorization is <strong>not required</strong>.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial PPO and Indemnity</td>
<td>Prior authorization is <strong>not required</strong>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes**

*Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member’s contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.*

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

**CPT Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36522</td>
<td>Photopheresis, extracorporeal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ICD-10 Diagnosis Codes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Description</th>
<th>Code Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, unspecified site</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, unspecified site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of head, face, and neck</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of head, face, and neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, intrathoracic lymph nodes</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, intrathoracic lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, intra-abdominal lymph nodes</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, intra-abdominal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of axilla and upper limb</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of axilla and upper limb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of inguinal region and lower limb</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of inguinal region and lower limb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, intrapelvic lymph nodes</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, intrapelvic lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, spleen</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, spleen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of multiple sites</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, lymph nodes of multiple sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, extranodal and solid organ sites</td>
<td>Mycosis fungoides, extranodal and solid organ sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, unspecified site</td>
<td>Sézary disease, unspecified site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of head, face, and neck</td>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of head, face, and neck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, intrathoracic lymph nodes</td>
<td>Sézary disease, intrathoracic lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, intra-abdominal lymph nodes</td>
<td>Sézary disease, intra-abdominal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of axilla and upper limb</td>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of axilla and upper limb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of inguinal region and lower limb</td>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of inguinal region and lower limb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, intrapelvic lymph nodes</td>
<td>Sézary disease, intrapelvic lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, spleen</td>
<td>Sézary disease, spleen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of multiple sites</td>
<td>Sézary disease, lymph nodes of multiple sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sézary disease, extranodal and solid organ sites</td>
<td>Sézary disease, extranodal and solid organ sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease</td>
<td>Acute Graft-Versus-Host Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic graft-versus-host disease</td>
<td>Chronic graft-versus-host disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DESCRIPTION

Organ Rejection Treatment After Solid Organ Transplant

The standard treatment for organ transplant rejection is immunosuppression, with the particular regimen dictated by the organ being transplanted. As organ transplantation success rates have improved, more patients are facing the morbidity and mortality associated with immunosuppressive therapies developed to prevent rejection of the transplanted organ. Immunosuppressive therapies are used to lower the responsiveness of the recipient’s immune system, decreasing the chance of rejection. Unfortunately, portions of the immune system responsible for the prevention of viral, fungal, and bacterial infections also are affected. This can, in turn, lead to serious infections, including opportunistic infections.

Although first approved for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL), extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) has more recently been used as a supplement to conventional therapies in the area of solid organ transplantation. Reports of the successful use of ECP in human cardiac transplant recipients were published in 1992 and use in other transplant patients followed. Although the specific mechanism of action of ECP is unknown, the reinfusion of treated leukocytes seems specifically to suppress the patient’s immune response to the donor organ, although maintaining the body’s ability to respond to other antigens. The specificity of ECP to target the immune response to the transplanted organ allows ECP to decrease organ rejection without an increased risk of infection, common with immunosuppressive drugs.

Graft-Versus-Host Disease

Given that graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) is an immune-mediated disease, ECP can be used to treat GVHD after a prior allogeneic cell transplant. In fact, GVHD can be categorized in 2 ways: (1) as an acute disease, occurring within the first 100 days after the infusion of allogeneic cells; or (2), as a chronic disease, which develops sometime after 100 days. Acute GVHD is commonly graded from I to IV, ranging from mild disease, which is characterized by a skin rash without the involvement of the liver or gut, to grades III and IV, which are characterized by generalized erythroderma, elevated bilirubin levels, or diarrhea. Grade III acute GVHD is considered severe, and grade IV is considered life-threatening. Chronic GVHD typically presents with more diverse symptomatology resembling autoimmune diseases such as progressive systemic sclerosis, systemic lupus erythematosus, or rheumatoid arthritis. Chronic GVHD may affect the mouth, eyes, respiratory tract, musculoskeletal system, and peripheral nerves, as well as the skin, liver, or gut—the usual sites of acute GVHD.

Autoimmune Disease

The use of ECP as a treatment of autoimmune disease is based on the premise that pathogenic lymphocytes form an expanded clone of cells, which are damaged when exposed to ultraviolet light in the presence of agent 8-methoxypsoralen. It is hypothesized that the resulting damage induces a population of circulating suppressor T cells targeted against the light-damaged cells. It is further hypothesized that these suppressor T cells are targeted at a component of the cell that is common to the entire clone of abnormal cells (ie, not just the light-sensitized cells), thus inducing a systemic effect. However, although scleroderma and other autoimmune diseases are associated with the presence of circulating autoantibodies, it is unknown how these antibodies are related to the pathogenesis of the disease. As
discussed in this evidence review, photopheresis is not associated with consistent changes in autoantibody levels.

T-Cell Lymphoma

Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma
According to the National Cancer Institute, CTCL is a neoplasia of malignant T lymphocytes that initially presents as skin involvement. CTCL is extremely rare, with an estimated incidence of approximately 0.4 per 100,000 annually, but because most are low-grade malignancies with long survival, the overall prevalence is much higher. Two CTCL variants, mycosis fungoides, and the Sézary syndrome account for approximately 60% and 5% of new cases of CTCL, respectively.

Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma is included in the Revised European-American Lymphoma classification as a group of low-grade T-cell lymphomas, which should be distinguished from other T-cell lymphomas that involve the skin, such as anaplastic large cell lymphoma, peripheral T-cell lymphoma, adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (usually with systemic involvement), or subcutaneous panniculitis T-cell lymphoma. In addition, a number of benign or very indolent conditions can be confused with mycosis fungoides, further complicating diagnosis.

Mycosis fungoides typically progresses from an eczematous patch/plaque stage, covering less than 10% of the body surface (T1), to a plaque stage, covering 10% or more of the body surface (T2), and finally to tumors (T3) that frequently undergo necrotic ulceration. Sézary syndrome is an advanced form of mycosis fungoides with generalized erythroderma (T4) and peripheral blood involvement (B1) at presentation. The cytologic transformation from a low-grade lymphoma to a high-grade lymphoma sometimes occurs during the course of these diseases and is associated with poor prognosis. A common cause of death during the tumor phase is sepsis from Pseudomonas aeruginosa or Staphylococcus aureus caused by chronic skin infection with staphylococcus species and subsequent systemic infections.

The natural history of mycosis fungoides is typically indolent. Symptoms may present for long periods of time (mean, 2 to 10 years) as waxing and waning cutaneous eruptions. The prognosis of patients with mycosis fungoides or Sézary syndrome is based on the extent of disease at presentation and its stage. Lymphadenopathy and involvement of peripheral blood and viscera increase in likelihood with worsening cutaneous involvement and define poor prognostic groups. Median survival after diagnosis varies by stage. Median survival in patients with stage I A disease exceeds 20 years, with most deaths in this group typically unrelated to mycosis fungoides. In contrast, median survival in patients with stage III or IV disease is less than 5 years; more than 50% of these patients die of their disease.

Appropriate therapy of CTCL depends on a variety of factors, including stage, the patient's overall health, and the presence of symptoms. In general, therapies can be categorized into topical and systemic treatments that include ECP. In contrast to more conventional lymphomas, CTCL is usually not curable (unless caught in its earliest stages). Thus, systemic cytotoxic chemotherapy is avoided except for advanced-stage cases. Partial or complete remission is achievable, although most patients require lifelong treatment and monitoring.

Summary
Description
Extracorporeal photopheresis (ECP) is a leukapheresis-based immunomodulatory procedure that involves the following 3 steps: (1) the patient's blood is collected into a centrifuge system that separates the leukocyte-rich portion (buffy coat) from the rest of the blood; (2) the photosensitizer agent 8-methoxypsoralen is added to the lymphocyte fraction, which is then exposed to ultraviolet-A (320-400 nm wavelength) light at a dose of 1 to 2 J/cm²; and (3) the light-sensitized lymphocytes are reinfused into the patient. The use of ECP has been investigated for patients needing treatment for organ rejection after solid organ transplant, graft-versus-host disease (GVHD), autoimmune diseases, and T-cell lymphoma.
**Graft Rejection After Solid Organ Transplant**

**Heart Transplant**
For individuals who are heart transplant recipients who experience acute graft rejection refractory to immunosuppression who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small randomized controlled trial (RCT). Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The small RCT, while suggesting similar outcomes for ECP and corticosteroids, is insufficient to permit conclusions on the utility of ECP. Studies with more patients and longer follow-up are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are heart transplant recipients who experience recurrent and/or refractory graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a comparative study and small case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence is consistent on the beneficial effect of ECP for cardiac transplant patients with graft rejection refractory to standard therapy. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are heart transplant recipients who require prophylaxis to prevent graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small RCT. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The small, randomized trial is insufficient to permit conclusions on the utility of ECP. Studies with more patients and longer follow-up are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

**Lung Transplant**
For individuals who are lung transplant recipients who experience acute graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small retrospective study and small case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence is very limited, and any conclusions drawn lack certainty. A prospective, randomized trial is needed specifically evaluating the treatment of patients with acute graft rejection. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are lung transplant recipients with bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome refractory to corticosteroids who receive ECP, the evidence includes a prospective study and numerous retrospective analyses. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Studies have shown inconsistent results across bronchiolitis obliterans syndrome grades. Prospective RCTs are necessary with analyses stratified by syndrome grade. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

**Liver Transplant**
For individuals who are liver transplant recipients who experience graft rejection and receive ECP, the evidence includes a small nonrandomized study, a retrospective study, and a case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence does not permit conclusions on the utility of ECP in this population. There is a need for RCTs comparing immunosuppressive therapy alone with immunosuppressive therapy with ECP. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

**Kidney Transplant**
For individuals who are kidney transplant recipients who experience recurrent graft rejection who receive ECP, the evidence includes a small prospective study and numerous case reports. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence does not permit conclusions on the effect of ECP on net health outcome. RCTs comparing immunosuppressive therapy with immunosuppressive therapy using ECP and examining histologic confirmation of treatment response, are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.
Graft-Versus-Host Disease
For individuals who have acute or chronic GVHD refractory to medical treatment who receive ECP, the evidence includes systematic reviews, a randomized study, retrospective studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Current evidence has consistently shown that ECP reduces the incidence of GVHD that is unresponsive to standard therapy. Additionally, there is a lack of other treatment options for these patients; adverse events related to ECP are minimal; and, if there is a response to ECP, patients may be able to reduce or discontinue treatment with corticosteroids and other immunosuppressive agents. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Other Indications, Not Related To Solid Organ Transplant

Autoimmune Disease
For individuals who have autoimmune diseases (eg, cutaneous or visceral manifestations of autoimmune diseases including but not limited to scleroderma, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, pemphigus, psoriasis, multiple sclerosis, diabetes, autoimmune bullous disorders, severe atopic dermatitis, and Crohn's disease) who receive ECP, the evidence includes isolated RCTs, small prospective and retrospective studies, and case reports. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The current literature assessing the various autoimmune diseases is not sufficiently robust to support conclusions. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Cutaneous T-Cell Lymphoma
For individuals who have advanced-stage (stage III or IV) cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) who receive ECP, the evidence includes a systematic review and numerous small case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Evidence from these small case series has shown a favorable response to ECP treatment and an increase in survival in a proportion of these patients. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have refractory or progressive early-stage (stage I or II) CTCL who receive ECP, the evidence includes a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are OS, change in disease status, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Given the unfavorable prognosis for patients with early-stage CTCL that progresses on nonsystemic therapies, the relative lack of adverse events with ECP compared with other systemic treatments, and the good response rates often observed with ECP, this therapy is an option for those with refractory or progressive early-stage CTCL. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.
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