



# MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

## Medical Policy

### Magnetic Resonance–Guided Focused Ultrasound

#### Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Description](#)
- [References](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Policy History](#)

#### Policy Number: 243

BCBSA Reference Number: 7.01.109 (For Plan internal use only)

#### Related Policies

- Occlusion of Uterine Arteries Using Transcatheter Embolization #[242](#)
- Radiofrequency Ablation of Miscellaneous Solid Tumors Excluding Liver Tumors #[259](#)

#### Policy

##### Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Magnetic resonance guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for pain palliation in adult patients with metastatic bone cancer who failed or are not candidates for radiotherapy.

Magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of medicine-refractory essential tremors.

Magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity ultrasound ablation is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** in all other situations including but not limited to:

- Treatment of uterine fibroids
- Treatment of other tumors (eg, brain cancer, prostate cancer, breast cancer, desmoid)
- Treatment of medication-refractory tremor dominant Parkinson disease.

#### Prior Authorization Information

##### Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

##### Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	Prior authorization is <b>not required</b> .
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	Prior authorization is <b>not required</b> .

## CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above **medical necessity criteria MUST** be met for the following codes to be covered for **Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:**

### CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
61715	Magnetic resonance image guided high intensity focused ultrasound (MRgFUS), stereotactic ablation of target, intracranial, including stereotactic navigation and frame placement, when performed

The following ICD Diagnosis Code is considered medically necessary when submitted with the CPT code above if **medical necessity criteria** are met:

### ICD-10 diagnosis coding

ICD-10 Diagnosis code	Description
G25.0	Essential tremor

The following CPT codes are considered investigational for **Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:**

### CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
0071T	Focused ultrasound ablation of uterine leiomyomata, including MR guidance; total leiomyomata volume less than 200 cc of tissue
0072T	Focused ultrasound ablation of uterine leiomyomata, including MR guidance; total leiomyomata volume greater or equal to 200 cc of tissue
0947T	Magnetic resonance image guided low intensity focused ultrasound (MRgFUS), stereotactic blood-brain barrier disruption using microbubble resonators to increase the concentration of blood-based biomarkers of target, intracranial, including stereotactic navigation and frame placement, when performed

## Description

### Uterine Fibroids

Uterine fibroids are 1 of the most common conditions affecting women in the reproductive years. African American women have a greater lifetime incidence of uterine fibroids compared to other racial groups.<sup>1-4</sup> Symptoms of uterine fibroids include menorrhagia, pelvic pressure, or pain.

### Treatment

Approaches currently available to treat symptomatic uterine fibroids include hysterectomy, abdominal myomectomy, laparoscopic and hysteroscopic myomectomy, hormone therapy, uterine artery embolization, and watchful waiting. Hysterectomy and various myomectomy procedures are considered the criterion standard treatments.

### **Metastatic Bone Disease**

Metastatic bone disease is 1 of the most common causes of cancer pain.

#### **Treatment**

Existing treatments include conservative measures (eg, massage, exercise) and pharmacologic agents (eg, analgesics, bisphosphonates, corticosteroids). For patients who do not respond to these treatments, standard care is external-beam radiotherapy. However, a substantial proportion of patients have residual pain after radiotherapy, and there is a need for alternative treatments for these patients. (One option, radiofrequency ablation, is addressed in policy #259.

### **Essential Tremors**

Essential tremor (ET) is the most common movement disorder, with an estimated prevalence of 5% worldwide. Essential tremor most often affects the hands and arms, may affect head and voice, and rarely includes the face, legs, and trunk. Essential tremor is heterogeneous among patients, varying in frequency, amplitude, causes of exacerbation, and association with other neurologic deficits.

#### **Treatment**

The neuropathology of ET is uncertain, with some evidence suggesting that ET is localized in the brainstem and cerebellum. If patients with ET experience intermittent or persistent disability due to the tremors, initial therapy is with drugs (beta-blockers or anticonvulsants). For medicine-refractory patients, surgery (deep brain stimulation or thalamotomy) may be offered, though high rates of adverse events have been observed.

### **Tremor-Dominant Parkinson Disease**

The 3 cardinal features of Parkinson disease (PD) are tremor, bradykinesia, and rigidity. The tremor in PD is a resting tremor that occurs when the body part is not engaged in purposeful activities. Major subtypes of PD include tremor-dominant, akinetic-rigid, and postural instability and gait difficulty. The progression of PD is highly variable and patients can change subtypes as the disease progresses.

#### **Treatment**

Dopaminergic therapy (ie, levodopa or a dopamine agonist) is the first-line treatment for PD, which improves tremor. Amantadine and anticholinergics (eg, trihexyphenidyl) can also be considered as initial treatment for tremor-dominant PD or as add-on therapy in patients who have persistent tremor despite dopaminergic therapy. For medication-refractory patients, surgery (deep brain stimulation or lesioning procedures) may be offered. Lesioning procedures include conventional unilateral thalamotomy and focused ultrasound thalamotomy. Deep brain stimulation is the most frequently performed surgical procedure for the treatment of PD.

### **Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound**

Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS) is a noninvasive treatment that combines 2 technologies: focused ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The ultrasound beam penetrates through the soft tissues and, using MRI for guidance and monitoring, the beam can be focused on targeted sites. Ultrasound causes a local increase in temperature in the target tissue, resulting in coagulation necrosis while sparing the surrounding normal structures. Ultrasound waves from each sonication are directed at a focal point that has a maximum focal volume of 20 mm in diameter and 15 mm in height/length. This causes a rapid rise in temperature (ie, to 65°C to 85°C), which is sufficient to ablate tissue at the focal point. In addition to providing guidance, the associated MRI can provide online thermometric imaging, a temperature "map", to confirm the therapeutic effect of the ablation treatment and allow for real-time adjustment of the treatment parameters.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the ExAblate® MRgFUS system (InSightec) for 4 indications: treatment of uterine fibroids (leiomyomata), palliation of pain associated with tumors metastatic

to bone, medication refractory ET, and tremor-dominant PD. The ultrasound equipment is specifically designed to be compatible with magnetic resonance magnets, and it is integrated into standard clinical MRI units; it also includes a patient table, which has a cradle that houses the focused ultrasound transducer in water or a light oil bath. Some models have a detachable cradle; only certain cradle types can be used for palliation of pain associated with metastatic bone cancer. For treating pain associated with bone metastases, the aim of MRgFUS is to destroy nerves in the bone surface surrounding the tumor. MRgFUS is also being investigated for the treatment of other tumors, including breast, prostate, brain, and desmoid tumors as well as nonspinal osteoid osteoma.

## Summary

### Description

An integrated system providing magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS) treatment is proposed as a noninvasive therapy for uterine fibroids and pain palliation of bone metastases. MRgFUS is also being investigated as a treatment of other benign and malignant tumors as well as essential tremors.

### Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have uterine fibroids who receive magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS), the evidence includes systematic reviews, 2 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), nonrandomized comparative studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, quality of life, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. One RCT (N=20) has reported some health outcomes but its primary purpose was to determine the feasibility of a larger trial. It did not find statistically significant differences in quality-of-life outcomes between active and sham treatment groups but it did find lower fibroid volumes after active treatment. This trial did not have an active comparator, the clinical significance of the primary outcome was unclear, and there were no follow-up data beyond 1 year. The second RCT (N=49) had preliminary results at 6 weeks posttreatment, comparing MRgFUS with uterine artery embolization (UAE), and demonstrated that the 2 groups are comparable in medication use and symptom improvement following treatments. Patients in the MRgFUS group reported recovering significantly faster than patients in the uterine artery embolization group, as measured by time to return to work and time to normal activities. Long-term follow-up results reported that there was lower reintervention rate and greater improvement in symptoms after UAE compared to MRgFUS. A 2021 meta-analysis reported that, comparatively, myomectomy had the lowest re-intervention rate of the 3 regimens (myomectomy vs UAE vs MRgFUS) in all time points assessed, while the MRgFUS had the highest re-intervention rate. Long-term data on the treatment effects, recurrence rates, and impact on future fertility and pregnancy are lacking. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with metastatic bone cancer who have failed or are not candidates for radiotherapy who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes a sham-controlled randomized trial, a systematic review of RCTs and observational studies, and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT found statistically significant improvements after MRgFUS in a composite outcome comprised of a reduction in pain and morphine use, and in pain reduction as a stand-alone outcome. A substantial proportion of patients in the treatment group experienced adverse events but most events were transient and not severe. Pooled efficacy data from a systematic review reported a treatment response to MRgFUS of 79%. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with other tumors (eg, breast cancer, brain cancer, prostate cancer, desmoid, nonspinal osteoid osteoma) who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes nonrandomized, uncontrolled phase II trials and several case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, health status measures, and treatment-related morbidity. A nonrandomized, uncontrolled phase II trial evaluating MRgFUS for prostate cancer reported a 93% success rate at 5 months and an 86% success rate at 2 years. Another nonrandomized, phase II trial in patients with prostate cancer reported that at 24 months, 88% (78 out of 89) of patients had no evidence of grade group 2 or higher prostate cancer in the treated area. Use of MRgFUS for the treatment of nonspinal osteoid osteoma consists of several larger case series, including a propensity score-matched retrospective study that reported similar reductions in pain with radiofrequency ablation and MRgFUS. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with medicine-refractory essential tremors who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes a technology assessment, meta-analyses, and a double-blind, sham-controlled randomized trial. Relevant outcomes include symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The assessment did not pool study results but concluded that, overall, MRgFUS decreased tremor severity and improved quality of life. One meta-analysis reported significant improvements in hand tremor scores from baseline up to 24 months post-treatment, with evidence of a diminishing treatment benefit over time. Another meta-analysis found similar improvements in tremor severity with MRgFUS to unilateral deep brain stimulation (DBS), but improvements in both were inferior to bilateral DBS. The sham-controlled randomized trial found significant improvements in the treatment group in tremor severity, functional improvement, and quality of life after 3 months of follow-up. The improvements in hand tremor score, function, and quality of life were maintained at the 2-year follow-up. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with medicine-refractory tremor dominant Parkinson disease (PD) who receive MRgFUS, the evidence includes a pilot RCT. Relevant outcomes include symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The double-blind, sham-controlled, pilot randomized trial (N=27) found significant improvements in the treatment group in tremor severity after 3 months of follow-up. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## Policy History

Date	Action
1/2025	Clarified coding information.
9/2024	Annual policy review. Policy updated with literature review through May 15, 2024; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
9/2023	Annual policy review. References added. Policy statements unchanged.
9/2022	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
12/2021	Annual policy review. New investigational statement described for treatment of medication-refractory tremor dominant Parkinson disease. Effective 12/1/2021.
1/2021	Medicare information removed. See MP #132 Medicare Advantage Management for local coverage determination and national coverage determination reference.
9/2020	Annual policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
9/2019	Annual policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
2/2019	Annual policy review. New medically necessary statement added for MRgFUS ablation for treatment of medicine-refractory essential tremors. Clarified coding information. Effective 2/1/2019.
4/2018	Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Magnetic Resonance Image Guided High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (MRgFUS) for Essential Tremor (L37421) added. Effective 4/1/2018.
8/2017	New references added from Annual policy review.
4/2016	Annual policy review. Policy statements unchanged. Global change to policy to remove “imaging” (eg, title, policy statement) to standardize terminology to magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS).
1/2016	Clarified coding information.
7/2015	Annual policy review. New medically necessary indications described. Effective 7/1/2015.
5/2014	New references from Annual policy review.
8/2013	Annual policy review. Policy changed to single investigational statement; no change to intent of policy. Policy title changed to MRI-Guided Focused Ultrasound (MRgFUS). Effective 8/1/2013.

11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
9/2011	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Obstetrics and Gynecology. No changes to policy statements.
10/2010	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Obstetrics and Gynecology. No changes to policy statements.
7/2010	New policy describing ongoing non-coverage. Effective 7/1/2010.

## Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

## References

1. Eltoukhi HM, Modi MN, Weston M, et al. The health disparities of uterine fibroid tumors for African American women: a public health issue. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Mar 2014; 210(3): 194-9. PMID 23942040
2. Blue Cross Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound therapy for symptomatic uterine fibroids. *TEC Assessments*. 2005;Volume 20:Tab 10.
3. Barnard EP, AbdElmagied AM, Vaughan LE, et al. Periprocedural outcomes comparing fibroid embolization and focused ultrasound: a randomized controlled trial and comprehensive cohort analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. May 2017; 216(5): 500.e1-500.e11. PMID 28063909
4. Laughlin-Tommaso S, Barnard EP, AbdElmagied AM, et al. FIRSTT study: randomized controlled trial of uterine artery embolization vs focused ultrasound surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. Feb 2019; 220(2): 174.e1-174.e13. PMID 30696556
5. Jacoby VL, Kohi MP, Poder L, et al. PROMISe trial: a pilot, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of magnetic resonance guided focused ultrasound for uterine fibroids. *Fertil Steril*. Mar 2016; 105(3): 773-780. PMID 26658133
6. Gizzo S, Saccardi C, Patrelli TS, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound myomectomy: safety, efficacy, subsequent fertility and quality-of-life improvements, a systematic review. *Reprod Sci*. Apr 2014; 21(4): 465-76. PMID 23868442
7. Xu F, Deng L, Zhang L, et al. The comparison of myomectomy, UAE and MRgFUS in the treatment of uterine fibroids: a meta analysis. *Int J Hyperthermia*. Sep 2021; 38(2): 24-29. PMID 34420449
8. Chen R, Keserci B, Bi H, et al. The safety and effectiveness of volumetric magnetic resonance-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: early clinical experience in China. *J Ther Ultrasound*. 2016; 4: 27. PMID 27822376
9. Rabinovici J, David M, Fukunishi H, et al. Pregnancy outcome after magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound surgery (MRgFUS) for conservative treatment of uterine fibroids. *Fertil Steril*. Jan 2010; 93(1): 199-209. PMID 19013566
10. Otonkoski S, Sainio T, Mattila S, et al. Magnetic resonance guided high intensity focused ultrasound for uterine fibroids and adenomyosis has no effect on ovarian reserve. *Int J Hyperthermia*. 2023; 40(1): 2154575. PMID 36535925
11. Baal JD, Chen WC, Baal U, et al. Efficacy and safety of magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound for the treatment of painful bone metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Skeletal Radiol*. Dec 2021; 50(12): 2459-2469. PMID 34018007
12. Hurwitz MD, Ghanouni P, Kanaev SV, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound for patients with painful bone metastases: phase III trial results. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. Apr 23 2014; 106(5). PMID 24760791
13. Arrigoni F, Barile A, Zugaro L, et al. Intra-articular benign bone lesions treated with Magnetic Resonance-guided Focused Ultrasound (MRgFUS): imaging follow-up and clinical results. *Med Oncol*. Apr 2017; 34(4): 55. PMID 28244018

14. Ghai S, Finelli A, Corr K, et al. MRI-guided Focused Ultrasound Ablation for Localized Intermediate-Risk Prostate Cancer: Early Results of a Phase II Trial. *Radiology*. Mar 2021; 298(3): 695-703. PMID 33529137
15. Ghai S, Finelli A, Corr K, et al. MRI-guided Focused Ultrasound Focal Therapy for Intermediate-Risk Prostate Cancer: Final Results from a 2-year Phase II Clinical Trial. *Radiology*. Mar 2024; 310(3): e231473. PMID 38441092
16. Ehdai B, Tempny CM, Holland F, et al. MRI-guided focused ultrasound focal therapy for patients with intermediate-risk prostate cancer: a phase 2b, multicentre study. *Lancet Oncol*. Jul 2022; 23(7): 910-918. PMID 35714666
17. Zippel DB, Papa MZ. The use of MR imaging guided focused ultrasound in breast cancer patients; a preliminary phase one study and review. *Breast Cancer*. 2005; 12(1): 32-8. PMID 15657521
18. Hynynen K, Pomeroy O, Smith DN, et al. MR imaging-guided focused ultrasound surgery of fibroadenomas in the breast: a feasibility study. *Radiology*. Apr 2001; 219(1): 176-85. PMID 11274554
19. Gianfelice D, Khiat A, Amara M, et al. MR imaging-guided focused US ablation of breast cancer: histopathologic assessment of effectiveness-- initial experience. *Radiology*. Jun 2003; 227(3): 849-55. PMID 12714680
20. Gianfelice D, Khiat A, Amara M, et al. MR imaging-guided focused ultrasound surgery of breast cancer: correlation of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI with histopathologic findings. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. Nov 2003; 82(2): 93-101. PMID 14692653
21. Merckel LG, Knuttel FM, Deckers R, et al. First clinical experience with a dedicated MRI-guided high-intensity focused ultrasound system for breast cancer ablation. *Eur Radiol*. Nov 2016; 26(11): 4037-4046. PMID 26852219
22. McDannold N, Clement GT, Black P, et al. Transcranial magnetic resonance imaging- guided focused ultrasound surgery of brain tumors: initial findings in 3 patients. *Neurosurgery*. Feb 2010; 66(2): 323-32; discussion 332. PMID 20087132
23. Arrigoni F, Spiliopoulos S, de Cataldo C, et al. A Bicentric Propensity Score Matched Study Comparing Percutaneous Computed Tomography-Guided Radiofrequency Ablation to Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound for the Treatment of Osteoid Osteoma. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Jul 2021; 32(7): 1044-1051. PMID 33775816
24. Arrigoni F, Napoli A, Bazzocchi A, et al. Magnetic-resonance-guided focused ultrasound treatment of non-spinal osteoid osteoma in children: multicentre experience. *Pediatr Radiol*. Aug 2019; 49(9): 1209-1216. PMID 31129699
25. Geiger D, Napoli A, Conchiglia A, et al. MR-guided focused ultrasound (MRgFUS) ablation for the treatment of nonspinal osteoid osteoma: a prospective multicenter evaluation. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. May 07 2014; 96(9): 743-51. PMID 24806011
26. Avedian RS, Bitton R, Gold G, et al. Is MR-guided High-intensity Focused Ultrasound a Feasible Treatment Modality for Desmoid Tumors?. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Mar 2016; 474(3): 697-704. PMID 26040967
27. Bucknor MD, Rieke V. MRgFUS for desmoid tumors within the thigh: early clinical experiences. *J Ther Ultrasound*. 2017; 5: 4. PMID 28174660
28. Ghanouni P, Dobrotwir A, Bazzocchi A, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound treatment of extra-abdominal desmoid tumors: a retrospective multicenter study. *Eur Radiol*. Feb 2017; 27(2): 732-740. PMID 27147222
29. Miller WK, Becker KN, Caras AJ, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound treatment for essential tremor shows sustained efficacy: a meta-analysis. *Neurosurg Rev*. Feb 2022; 45(1): 533-544. PMID 33978922
30. Elias WJ, Lipsman N, Ondo WG, et al. A Randomized Trial of Focused Ultrasound Thalamotomy for Essential Tremor. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 25 2016; 375(8): 730-9. PMID 27557301
31. Giordano M, Caccavella VM, Zaed I, et al. Comparison between deep brain stimulation and magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound in the treatment of essential tremor: a systematic review and pooled analysis of functional outcomes. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Dec 2020; 91(12): 1270-1278. PMID 33055140
32. Schaink A, Li C, Gajic-Veljanoski O, et al. Magnetic Resonance-Guided Focused Ultrasound Neurosurgery for Essential Tremor: A Health Technology Assessment. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser*. 2018; 18(4): 1-141. PMID 29805721

33. Chang JW, Park CK, Lipsman N, et al. A prospective trial of magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for essential tremor: Results at the 2-year follow-up. *Ann Neurol.* Jan 2018; 83(1): 107-114. PMID 29265546
34. Bond AE, Shah BB, Huss DS, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Focused Ultrasound Thalamotomy for Patients With Medication-Refractory, Tremor-Dominant Parkinson Disease: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Neurol.* Dec 01 2017; 74(12): 1412-1418. PMID 29084313
35. Knuttinen MG, Stark G, Hohenwarter EJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria ® Radiologic Management of Uterine Leiomyomas. *J Am Coll Radiol.* May 2018; 15(5S): S160-S170. PMID 29724419
36. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria: Management of Uterine Fibroids. Updated 2023. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69508/Narrative/>. Accessed May 15, 2024.
37. Lutz S, Balboni T, Jones J, et al. Palliative radiation therapy for bone metastases: Update of an ASTRO Evidence-Based Guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* 2017; 7(1): 4-12. PMID 27663933
38. Eastham JA, Boorjian SA, Kirkby E. Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: AUA/ASTRO Guideline. *J Urol.* Sep 2022; 208(3): 505-507. PMID 35830561
39. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Bone Cancer. Version 2.2024. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/bone.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bone.pdf). Accessed May 14, 2024.
40. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Breast Cancer. Version 2.2024. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/breast.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf). Accessed May 13, 2024.
41. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Central Nervous System Cancers. Version 1.2023. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/cns.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cns.pdf). Accessed May 12, 2024.
42. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Prostate Cancer. Version 3.2024. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf). Accessed May 11, 2024.
43. National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Unilateral MRI-guided focused ultrasound thalamotomy for treatment-resistant essential tremor [IPG617]. 2018; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg617>. Accessed May 15, 2024.