



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

## Medical Policy

# Occlusion of Uterine Arteries Using Transcatheter Embolization

### Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Description](#)
- [References](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Policy History](#)

### Policy Number: 242

BCBSA Reference Number: 4.01.11

NCD/LCD: N/A

### Related Policies

- MRI-Guided Focused Ultrasound for the Treatment of Uterine Fibroids and Other Tumors, #[243](#)
- Laparoscopic and Percutaneous Techniques for the Myolysis of Uterine Fibroids, #[244](#)

### Policy

#### Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO Blue<sup>SM</sup> and Medicare PPO Blue<sup>SM</sup> Members

Transcatheter embolization of uterine arteries as a treatment of uterine fibroids or as a treatment of postpartum uterine hemorrhage may be considered [MEDICALLY NECESSARY](#).

One repeat transcatheter embolization of uterine arteries to treat persistent symptoms of uterine fibroids after an initial uterine artery embolization may be considered [MEDICALLY NECESSARY](#).

#### Initial Procedure

There are no specific criteria for uterine artery embolization regarding the size, location, or multiplicity of fibroid tumors. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists has suggested the following general criteria for treatment of fibroid tumors:

- Asymptomatic fibroids of such size that they are palpable abdominally and are a concern to the patient; OR
- Excessive uterine bleeding as evidenced by either profuse bleeding lasting more than 8 days, or anemia due to acute or chronic blood loss; OR
- Pelvic discomfort caused by myomata, either acute severe pain, chronic lower abdominal pain, or low back pressure or bladder pressure with urinary frequency not due to urinary tract infection.

#### Repeat Procedure

One repeat uterine artery embolization may be performed when there is documentation of continued symptoms such as bleeding or pain. Repeat procedures may be most appropriate when there are persistent symptoms in combination with findings on imaging of an incomplete initial procedure, as evidenced by continued blood flow to the treated regions. Limited data from case series have suggested

a high rate of success following repeat procedures for this purpose, with most patients reporting relief of symptoms.

Transcatheter embolization for the management of all other indications, including cervical ectopic pregnancy, uterine arteriovenous malformation, and adenomyosis is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

## Prior Authorization Information

### Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

### Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	Prior authorization is <b>not required</b> .
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	Prior authorization is <b>not required</b> .
Medicare HMO Blue <sup>SM</sup>	Prior authorization is <b>not required</b> .
Medicare PPO Blue <sup>SM</sup>	Prior authorization is <b>not required</b> .

## CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

*Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.*

*Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.*

*The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.*

**The above medical necessity criteria MUST be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:**

### CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
37243	Vascular embolization or occlusion, inclusive of all radiological supervision and interpretation, intraprocedural roadmapping, and imaging guidance necessary to complete the intervention; for tumors, organ ischemia, or infarction

## DESCRIPTION

### Uterine Artery Embolization

There is interest in techniques that directly devascularize the uterine fibroid by interrupting the uterine arteries. One technique, uterine artery embolization, involves selective catheterization of the uterine arteries with an injection of embolization material. Uterine artery embolization has also been used to control bleeding in situations such as severe postpartum hemorrhage, cervical ectopic pregnancy, bleeding uterine arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), and adenomyosis.

### Summary

Transcatheter uterine artery embolization (UAE) is a minimally invasive technique that involves the injection of small particles, gelfoam, coils, or glue into the uterine arteries to block the blood supply to the uterus and uterine fibroids. It potentially serves as an alternative to hysterectomy. UAE has also been

used to treat postpartum hemorrhage, cervical ectopic pregnancy, uterine arteriovenous malformations, and adenomyosis.

For individuals who have uterine fibroids who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The majority of studies have compared UAE with hysterectomy and myomectomy and found similar levels of symptoms and quality of life across all treatment groups. Benefits for women undergoing UAE included avoiding surgery and maintaining their uterus, lower complication rates, and lower blood transfusion rates. However, patients undergoing UAE had higher reintervention rates compared with patients who had surgery. Smaller trials have compared UAE with laparoscopic occlusion and magnetic resonance image-guided focused ultrasound surgery. Additional trials with larger sample sizes comparing UAE with these and other uterus-preserving procedures are needed. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have persistent uterine fibroids despite prior UAE who receive repeat transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case series. The relevant outcomes are symptoms, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Case series have shown that a high degree of symptom relief is possible after a repeat UAE for uterine fibroids. Moreover, evidence from randomized controlled trials on the safety and efficacy of UAE for initial treatment of uterine fibroids suggests a benefit for patients in need of repeat procedures for the same indication. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have postpartum uterine hemorrhage who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case series and a systematic review. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, symptoms, and treatment-related morbidity. The systematic review of case series assessing over 1400 women reported success rates of bleeding cessation that ranged from 58% to 98%. Postpartum uterine hemorrhage is an emergency situation with serious potential consequences (ie, maternal mortality). Conducting randomized controlled trials is particularly difficult in this setting and may be unnecessary when there are sufficient uncontrolled data. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cervical ectopic pregnancy who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case series. The relevant outcomes are treatment-related morbidity. Only a few case series with a small number of patients have been published. Additional studies, especially controlled studies comparing UAE with medication or surgery, are needed to assess the safety and efficacy of UAE in patients with cervical ectopic pregnancy. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have uterine arteriovenous malformations who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case reports, case series, and a systematic review. The relevant outcomes are symptoms and treatment-related morbidity. Only case reports and case series with a small number of patients have been published. A systematic review identified 54 women in 40 studies with uterine arteriovenous malformations treated with UAE. Additional controlled studies comparing UAE with hysterectomy are needed to assess the safety and efficacy of UAE in patients with uterine arteriovenous malformations. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have adenomyosis who receive transcatheter UAE, the evidence includes case series and a systematic review. The relevant outcomes are symptoms and treatment-related morbidity. A systematic review of case series data found short-term improvement in 83% of patients and long-term improvement in 65% of patients, suggesting possible recurrence of symptoms over time. All studies were case series, which might have been subject to selection and/or observational biases. Additional case series published after the review has reported that patients with greater necrosis of adenomyosis and patients with higher vascularity of lesions may experience higher response rates to UAE. Controlled

studies comparing UAE with medication or surgery and reporting long-term symptom recurrence rates are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

## Policy History

Date	Action
10/2019	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
10/2018	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged. 10/1/2018
9/2017	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
1/2017	BCBSA National medical policy review. Adenomyosis added to investigational policy statement. Effective 1/1/2017.
8/2015	New references from BCBSA National medical policy.
12/2014	BCBSA National medical policy review. New investigational indications described. Coding information clarified. Effective 12/1/2104.
6/2014	Updated Coding section with ICD10 procedure and diagnosis codes, effective 10/2015.
1/2014	Coding information clarified.
11/2013	Removed invalid diagnosis codes 666.0 and 666.1
10/2013	New references from BCBSA National medical policy.
2/2013	BCBSA National medical policy review. Changes to policy statement. Effective 2/4/2013.
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
9/2011	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group – Urology, Obstetrics and Gynecology. No changes to policy statements.
10/2010	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Obstetrics and Gynecology. No changes to policy statements.
7/2010	Medical Policy 242 effective 7/201. Describing covered and non-covered indications.

## Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

## References

1. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Uterine artery embolization for treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids. TEC Assessments. 2002;Volume 17:Tab 8.
2. van der Kooij SM, Bipat S, Hehenkamp WJ, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus surgery in the treatment of symptomatic fibroids: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. Oct 2011;205(4):317 e311- 318. PMID 21641570.
3. Edwards RD, Moss JG, Lumsden MA, et al. Uterine-artery embolization versus surgery for symptomatic uterine fibroids. N Engl J Med. Jan 25 2007;356(4):360-370. PMID 17251532.
4. van der Kooij SM, Hehenkamp WJ, Volkers NA, et al. Uterine artery embolization vs hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: 5-year outcome from the randomized EMMY trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. Aug 2010;203(2):105 e101-113. PMID 20579960.
5. Gupta JK, Sinha A, Lumsden MA, et al. Uterine artery embolization for symptomatic uterine fibroids. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Dec 26 2014;12(12):CD005073. PMID 25541260.

6. Das R, Champaneria R, Daniels JP, et al. Comparison of embolic agents used in uterine artery embolisation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* Oct 2014;37(5):1179-1190. PMID 24305981.
7. Martin J, Bhanot K, Athreya S. Complications and reinterventions in uterine artery embolization for symptomatic uterine fibroids: a literature review and meta analysis. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* Apr 2013;36(2):395-402. PMID 23152035.
8. Moss JG, Cooper KG, Khaund A, et al. Randomised comparison of uterine artery embolisation (UAE) with surgical treatment in patients with symptomatic uterine fibroids (REST trial): 5-year results. *Bjog.* Jul 2011;118(8):936-944. PMID 21481151.
9. Hehenkamp WJ, Volkers NA, Donderwinkel PF, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids (EMMY trial): peri- and postprocedural results from a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* Nov 2005;193(5):1618-1629. PMID 16260201.
10. Volkers NA, Hehenkamp WJ, Birnie E, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: 2 years' outcome from the randomized EMMY trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* Jun 2007;196(6):519 e511-511. PMID 17547877.
11. de Bruijn AM, Ankum WM, Reekers JA, et al. Uterine artery embolization vs hysterectomy in the treatment of symptomatic uterine fibroids: 10-year outcomes from the randomized EMMY trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* Dec 2016;215(6):745 e741-745 e712. PMID 27393268.
12. Manyonda IT, Bratby M, Horst JS, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus myomectomy: impact on quality of life--results of the FUME (Fibroids of the Uterus: Myomectomy versus Embolization) Trial. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* Jun 2012;35(3):530-536. PMID 21773858.
13. Hald K, Klow NE, Qvigstad E, et al. Laparoscopic occlusion compared with embolization of uterine vessels: a randomized controlled trial. *Obstet Gynecol.* Jan 2007;109(1):20-27. PMID 17197583.
14. Hald K, Noreng HJ, Istre O, et al. Uterine artery embolization versus laparoscopic occlusion of uterine arteries for leiomyomas: long-term results of a randomized comparative trial. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* Oct 2009;20(10):1303- 1310; quiz 1311. PMID 19713130.
15. Barnard EP, AbdElmagied AM, Vaughan LE, et al. Periprocedural outcomes comparing fibroid embolization and focused ultrasound: a randomized controlled trial and comprehensive cohort analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* May 2017;216(5):500 e501-500 e511. PMID 28063909.
16. McLucas B, Reed RA. Repeat uterine artery embolization following poor results. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol.* Jan 2009;18(2):82-86. PMID 19177259.
17. Yousefi S, Czeyda-Pommersheim F, White AM, et al. Repeat uterine artery embolization: indications and technical findings. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* Dec 2006;17(12):1923-1929. PMID 17185687.
18. Mara M, Maskova J, Fucikova Z, et al. Midterm clinical and first reproductive results of a randomized controlled trial comparing uterine fibroid embolization and myomectomy. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* Jan-Feb 2008;31(1):73-85. PMID 17943348.
19. Sathe NA, Likis FE, Young JL, et al. Procedures and uterine-sparing surgeries for managing postpartum hemorrhage: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* Feb 2016;71(2):99-113. PMID 26894802.
20. Rath W, Hackethal A, Bohlmann MK. Second-line treatment of postpartum haemorrhage (PPH). *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* Sep 2012;286(3):549-561. PMID 22552376.
21. Kim TH, Lee HH, Kim JM, et al. Uterine artery embolization for primary postpartum hemorrhage. *Iran J Reprod Med.* Jun 2013;11(6):511-518. PMID 24639786.
22. Ganguli S, Stecker MS, Pyne D, et al. Uterine artery embolization in the treatment of postpartum uterine hemorrhage. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* Feb 2011;22(2):169-176. PMID 21183360.
23. Kirby JM, Kachura JR, Rajan DK, et al. Arterial embolization for primary postpartum hemorrhage. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* Aug 2009;20(8):1036-1045. PMID 19647182.
24. Doumouchtsis SK, Nikolopoulos K, Talaulikar V, et al. Menstrual and fertility outcomes following the surgical management of postpartum haemorrhage: a systematic review. *Bjog.* Mar 2014;121(4):382-388. PMID 24321038.
25. Mohan PP, Hamblin MH, Vogelzang RL. Uterine artery embolization and its effect on fertility. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* Jul 2013;24(7):925-930. PMID 23701904.
26. Kwon JH, Kim GM, Han K, et al. Safety and efficacy of uterine artery embolization in ectopic pregnancies refractory to systemic methotrexate treatment: a single-center study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol.* May 01 2017;40(9):1351-1357. PMID 28462440.

27. Hu J, Tao X, Yin L, et al. Successful conservative treatment of cervical pregnancy with uterine artery embolization followed by curettage: a report of 19 cases. *BJOG*. Sep 2016;123 Suppl 3:97-102. PMID 27627607.
28. Xiaolin Z, Ling L, Chengxin Y, et al. Transcatheter intraarterial methotrexate infusion combined with selective uterine artery embolization as a treatment option for cervical pregnancy. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Jun 2010;21(6):836-841. PMID 20400332.
29. Barral PA, Saeed-Kilani M, Tradi F, et al. Transcatheter arterial embolization with ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer (Onyx) for the treatment of hemorrhage due to uterine arteriovenous malformations. *Diagn Interv Imaging*. May 2017;98(5):415-421. PMID 27776896.
30. Yoon DJ, Jones M, Taani JA, et al. A systematic review of acquired uterine arteriovenous malformations: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and transcatheter treatment. *AJP Rep*. Mar 2016;6(1):e6-e14. PMID 26929872.
31. Kim T, Shin JH, Kim J, et al. Management of bleeding uterine arteriovenous malformation with bilateral uterine artery embolization. *Yonsei Med J*. Mar 2014;55(2):367-373. PMID 24532505.
32. Zhou J, He L, Liu P, et al. Outcomes in adenomyosis treated with uterine artery embolization are associated with lesion vascularity: a long-term follow-up study of 252 cases. *PLoS One*. Nov 2 2016;11(11):e0165610. PMID 27806072.
33. Popovic M, Puchner S, Berzaczy D, et al. Uterine artery embolization for the treatment of adenomyosis: a review. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Jul 2011;22(7):901-909; quiz 909. PMID 21570318.
34. de Bruijn AM, Smink M, Hehenkamp WJK, et al. Uterine artery embolization for symptomatic adenomyosis: 7- year clinical follow-up using UFS-QoL Questionnaire. *CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology*. May 17 2017;40(9):1344-1350. PMID 28516272.
35. Wang S, Meng X, Dong Y. The evaluation of uterine artery embolization as a nonsurgical treatment option for adenomyosis. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. May 2016;133(2):202-205. PMID 26868068.
36. Bae SH, Kim MD, Kim GM, et al. Uterine artery embolization for adenomyosis: percentage of necrosis predicts midterm clinical recurrence. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Sep 2015;26(9):1290-1296 e1292. PMID 26074028.
37. American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists. ACOG practice bulletin. Alternatives to hysterectomy in the management of leiomyomas. *Obstet Gynecol*. Aug 2008;112(2 Pt 1):387-400. PMID 18669742.
38. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Committee Opinion Number 557: Management of Acute Abnormal Uterine Bleeding in Non-Pregnant, Reproductive-Aged Women. Number 557. 2013; <http://www.acog.org/Resources-And-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Gynecologic-Practice/Management-of-Acute-Abnormal-Uterine-Bleeding-in-Nonpregnant-Reproductive-Aged-Women>. Accessed August 29, 2019.
39. Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics. Practice Bulletin No. 183: Postpartum hemorrhage. *Obstet Gynecol*. Oct 2017;130(4):e168-e186. PMID 28937571.
40. Dariushnia SR, Nikolic B, Stokes LS, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for uterine artery embolization for symptomatic leiomyomata. *J Vasc Interv Radiol*. Nov 2014;25(11):1737-1747. PMID 25442136.
41. Expert Panel on Interventional R, Knuttinen MG, Stark G, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria((R)) radiologic management of uterine leiomyomas. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2018;15(5S):S160-S170. PMID 29724419.