



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Image-Guided Minimally Invasive Decompression for Spinal Stenosis

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)

Policy Number: 240

BCBSA Reference Number: 7.01.126 (For Plan internal use only)

Related Policies

Interspinous and Interlaminar Stabilization/Distractor Devices (Spacers), #584

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Image-guided minimally invasive spinal decompression is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is not a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is not a covered service.

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The following CPT code is considered investigational for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
0275T	Percutaneous laminotomy/laminectomy (interlaminar approach) for decompression of neural elements, (with or without ligamentous resection, discectomy, facetectomy and/or foraminotomy), any method, under indirect image guidance (eg, fluoroscopic, CT), single or multiple levels, unilateral or bilateral; lumbar

Description

Spinal Stenosis

In spinal stenosis, the space around the spinal cord narrows, compressing the spinal cord and its nerve roots. The goal of surgical treatment is to “decompress” the spinal cord and/or nerve roots.

The most common symptoms of lumbar spinal stenosis are back pain with neurogenic claudication (ie, pain, numbness, weakness) in the legs that worsens with standing or walking and is alleviated by sitting or leaning forward. Compression of neural elements generally occurs from a combination of degenerative changes, including ligamentum flavum hypertrophy, bulging of the intervertebral disc, and facet thickening with arthropathy. Spinal stenosis is often linked to age-related changes in disc height and arthritis of the facet joints. Lumbar spinal stenosis is among the most common reasons for back surgery and the most common reason for lumbar spine surgery in adults over the age of 65.

The most common symptoms of cervical/thoracic spinal stenosis are neck pain and radiculopathy of the shoulder and arm. The most common cause of cervical radiculopathy is degenerative changes, including disc herniation.

Treatment

Conventional Posterior Decompression Surgery

For patients with lumbar spinal stenosis, surgical laminectomy has established benefits in reducing pain and improving quality of life.

For patients with cervical or thoracic stenosis, surgical treatment includes discectomy or foraminal decompression.

A systematic review by Chou et al (2009) assessed surgery for back pain; it was commissioned by the American Pain Society and conducted by an evidence-based center.^{1,2} Four higher quality randomized trials were reviewed; they compared surgery with nonsurgical therapy for spinal stenosis, including two studies from the multicenter Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial that evaluated laminectomy for spinal stenosis (specifically with or without degenerative spondylolisthesis).^{3,4} All 4 studies found that initial decompressive surgery (laminectomy) was slightly to moderately superior to initial nonsurgical therapy (eg, average 8- to 18-point differences on the 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey and Oswestry Disability Index). However, there was insufficient evidence to determine the optimal adjunctive surgical methods for laminectomy (ie, with or without fusion, instrumented vs noninstrumented fusion) in patients with or without degenerative spondylolisthesis. Spine Patient Outcomes Research Trial continues to be referenced as the highest quality evidence published on decompressive surgery.

Less invasive surgical procedures include open laminotomy and microendoscopic laminotomy. In general, the literature comparing surgical procedures is limited. The literature has suggested that less invasive surgical decompression may reduce perioperative morbidity without impairing long-term outcomes when performed in appropriately selected patients. Posterior decompressive surgical procedures include decompressive laminectomy, hemilaminotomy and laminotomy, and microendoscopic decompressive laminotomy.

Decompressive laminectomy, the classic treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis, unroofs the spinal canal by extensive resection of posterior spinal elements, including the lamina, spinous processes, portions of the facet joints, ligamentum flavum, and the interspinous ligaments. Wide muscular dissection and retraction is needed to achieve adequate surgical visualization. The extensive resection and injury to the posterior spine and supporting musculature can lead to instability with significant morbidity, both postoperatively and longer term. Spinal fusion, performed at the same time as laminectomy or after symptoms have developed, may be required to reduce resultant instability. Laminectomy may also be used for extensive multilevel decompression.

Hemilaminotomy and laminotomy, sometimes termed *laminoforaminotomy*, are less invasive than laminectomy. These procedures focus on the interlaminar space, where most of the pathologic changes are concentrated, minimizing resection of the stabilizing posterior spine. A laminotomy typically removes the inferior aspect of the cranial lamina, superior aspect of the subjacent lamina, ligamentum flavum, and the medial aspect of the facet joint. Unlike laminectomy, laminotomy does not disrupt the facet joints, supra- and interspinous ligaments, a major portion of the lamina, or the muscular attachments. Muscular dissection and retraction are required to achieve adequate surgical visualization.

Microendoscopic decompressive laminotomy, similar to laminotomy, uses endoscopic visualization. The position of the tubular working channel is confirmed by fluoroscopic guidance, and serial dilators are used to dilate the musculature and expand the fascia. For microendoscopic decompressive laminotomy, an endoscopic curette, rongeur, and drill are used for the laminotomy, facetectomy, and foraminotomy. The working channel may be repositioned from a single incision for multilevel and bilateral dissections.

Image-Guided Minimally Invasive Lumbar Decompression

Posterior decompression for lumbar spinal stenosis has been evolving toward increasingly minimally invasive procedures in an attempt to reduce postoperative morbidity and spinal instability. Unlike conventional surgical decompression, the percutaneous mild® decompressive procedure is performed solely under fluoroscopic guidance (eg, without endoscopic or microscopic visualization of the work area). This procedure is indicated for central stenosis only, without the capability of addressing nerve root compression or disc herniation, should either be required.

Percutaneous image-guided minimally invasive lumbar decompression using a specially designed tool kit (mild®) has been proposed as an ultra-minimally invasive treatment of central lumbar spinal stenosis. In this procedure, the epidural space is filled with contrast medium under fluoroscopic guidance. Using a 6-gauge cannula clamped in place with a back plate, single-use tools (portal cannula, surgical guide, bone rongeur, tissue sculpter, trocar) are used to resect thickened ligamentum flavum and small pieces of lamina. The tissue and bone sculpting is conducted entirely under fluoroscopic guidance, with contrast media added throughout the procedure to aid visualization of the decompression. The process is repeated on the opposite side for bilateral decompression of the central canal. The devices are not intended for use near the lateral neural elements and are contraindicated for disc procedures.

Summary

Image-guided minimally invasive decompression describes a percutaneous procedure for decompression of the central spinal canal in patients with spinal stenosis and hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum. In this procedure, a specialized cannula and surgical tools (mild®) are used under fluoroscopic guidance for bone and tissue sculpting near the spinal canal. Image-guided minimally invasive lumbar decompression is proposed as an alternative to existing posterior decompression procedures.

For individuals who have lumbar spinal stenosis who receive image-guided minimally invasive lumbar decompression, the evidence includes a large, ongoing randomized controlled trial (n=302), a systematic review of a small randomized controlled trial (n=38), and a number of prospective and retrospective cohort studies and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, and treatment-related morbidity. The largest randomized controlled trial compared image-guided minimally invasive lumbar decompression with epidural steroid injections (control) in patients who had ligamentum flavum hypertrophy and who failed conservative therapy. Early results have suggested

reductions in pain and improvements in function scores in the image-guided minimally invasive lumbar decompression group vs the control group. The trial was unblinded and there is evidence of differing expectations and follow-up in the 2 groups, suggesting a high-risk of bias. The available evidence is insufficient to determine the efficacy of mild® compared with placebo or to determine the efficacy of image-guided minimally invasive lumbar decompression compared with open decompression. Trials with relevant control groups could provide greater certainty on the risks and benefits of this procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have cervical or thoracic spinal stenosis who receive image-guided minimally invasive spinal decompression, no evidence was identified. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Policy History

Date	Action
6/2022	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
5/2021	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
1/2021	Medicare information removed. See MP #132 Medicare Advantage Management for local coverage determination and national coverage determination reference.
6/2020	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
5/2019	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
6/2017	Annual policy review. Policy statement clarified from “lumbar” to “spinal” to include cervical/thoracic decompression. “Lumbar” removed from title. 6/1/2017
5/2016	Annual policy review. New references added.
12/2015	Added coding language.
6/2015	Annual policy review. New references added.
7/2014	Annual policy review. New references added.
5/2013	Annual policy review. New references added.
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
11/1/2010	New policy effective 11/1/2010 describing ongoing non-coverage.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Chou R, Baisden J, Carragee EJ, et al. Surgery for low back pain: a review of the evidence for an American Pain Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. May 01 2009; 34(10): 1094-109. PMID 19363455
2. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. May 01 2009; 34(10): 1066-77. PMID 19363457
3. Weinstein JN, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, et al. Surgical versus nonsurgical treatment for lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis. *N Engl J Med*. May 31 2007; 356(22): 2257-70. PMID 17538085

4. Weinstein JN, Tosteson TD, Lurie JD, et al. Surgical versus nonsurgical therapy for lumbar spinal stenosis. *N Engl J Med*. Feb 21 2008; 358(8): 794-810. PMID 18287602
5. Staats PS, Benyamin RM, McDonnell F, et al. MiDAS ENCORE: Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial Report of 6-Month Results. *Pain Physician*. Feb 2016; 19(2): 25-38. PMID 26815247
6. Benyamin RM, Staats PS, MiDAS Encore I. MILD(R) Is an Effective Treatment for Lumbar Spinal Stenosis with Neurogenic Claudication: MiDAS ENCORE Randomized Controlled Trial. *Pain Physician*. May 2016; 19(4): 229-42. PMID 27228511
7. Staats PS, Chafin TB, Golovac S, et al. Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of Minimally Invasive Lumbar Decompression Procedure for the Treatment of Lumbar Spinal Stenosis With Neurogenic Claudication: 2-Year Results of MiDAS ENCORE. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. Oct 2018; 43(7): 789-794. PMID 30199512
8. Kreiner DS, MacVicar J, Duszynski B, et al. The mild(R) procedure: a systematic review of the current literature. *Pain Med*. Feb 2014; 15(2): 196-205. PMID 24308292
9. Brown LL. A double-blind, randomized, prospective study of epidural steroid injection vs. the mild(R) procedure in patients with symptomatic lumbar spinal stenosis. *Pain Pract*. Jun 2012; 12(5): 333-41. PMID 22272730
10. Chopko BW. Long-term results of percutaneous lumbar decompression for LSS: two-year outcomes. *Clin J Pain*. Nov 2013; 29(11): 939-43. PMID 23446067
11. Chopko BW. A novel method for treatment of lumbar spinal stenosis in high-risk surgical candidates: pilot study experience with percutaneous remodeling of ligamentum flavum and lamina. *J Neurosurg Spine*. Jan 2011; 14(1): 46-50. PMID 21142460
12. Lingreen R, Grider JS. Retrospective review of patient self-reported improvement and post-procedure findings for mild (minimally invasive lumbar decompression). *Pain Physician*. Nov-Dec 2010; 13(6): 555-60. PMID 21102968
13. Deer TR, Grider JS, Pope JE, et al. The MIST Guidelines: The Lumbar Spinal Stenosis Consensus Group Guidelines for Minimally Invasive Spine Treatment. *Pain Pract*. Mar 2019; 19(3): 250-274. PMID 30369003
14. North American Spine Society (NASS). Evidence-Based Clinical Guidelines for Multidisciplinary Spine Care: Diagnosis and Treatment of Degenerative Lumbar Spinal Stenosis. 2011; <https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/Assets/Downloads/ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LumbarStenosis.pdf>. Accessed March 4, 2022.
15. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Percutaneous Image-guided Lumbar Decompression for Lumbar Spinal Stenosis (150.13). 2016; <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=358>. Accessed March 4, 2022.