



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy - IMRT of the Breast and Lung

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Description](#)
- [Policy History](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [References](#)
- [Forms](#)

Policy Number: 163

BCBSA Reference Number: 8.01.46

NCD/LCD: N/A

Related Policies

- Clinical Exception and Notification Form for Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT), #[325](#)
- IMRT of Central Nervous System Tumors, #[910](#)
- IMRT of the Abdomen and Pelvis, #[165](#)
- IMRT of the Head and Neck, #[164](#)
- IMRT of the Prostate, #[090](#)

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of tumors of the breast when the tumor is in close proximity to organs at risk (heart, lung, chest wall, skin, and soft tissue) and 3-D CRT planning is not able to meet dose volume constraints for normal tissue tolerance as noted in the following table:

Adjacent Tissue	Dose/Volume Threshold
Heart	$\geq 25\%$ of heart ≥ 30 Gy
Lung	$\geq 30\%$ of ipsilateral lung ≥ 20 Gy OR $\geq 20\%$ of combined lung volume ≥ 20 Gy
Skin/Chest wall/Soft tissue	$\geq 5\%$ of intended breast $\geq 7\%$ of prescribed dose OR Medical lesion where $\geq 10\%$ of contralateral breast ≥ 10 Gy

IMRT of the breast as a technique of partial breast irradiation after breast-conserving surgery is **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

IMRT of the chest wall as a technique of postmastectomy irradiation is **INVESTIGATIONAL**.

IMRT may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the treatment of tumors of the lung when the tumor is in close proximity to organs at risk (heart, lung) and 3-D CRT planning is not able to meet dose volume constraints for normal tissue tolerance as noted in the following table:

Adjacent Tissue	Dose/Volume Threshold
Heart	>= 50% of heart >= 30Gy
Lung	>= 30% of non-cancerous combined lung volume >=20 Gy

Please note: [Clinical Exception and Notification form \(#325\)](#) **must** be filled out and submitted prior to all IMRT treatments.

Clinical Exception and Notification Form

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity

Providers **must** submit a request for an exception for a non-covered indication by completing the clinical exception and notification form. [Click here for the IMRT Policy and Notification exception and notification form \(#325\)](#).

Providers **must** complete the Clinical Exception and Notification Form when requesting coverage:

- For medically necessary indications described in medical policy 163, IMRT - Breast and Lung.
- For not medically necessary and investigational indications, described in medical policy 163, Breast and Lung.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	Providers must complete the Clinical Exception and Notification Form prior to service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	Providers must complete the Clinical Exception and Notification Form prior to service.
Medicare HMO BlueSM	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare PPO BlueSM	Prior authorization is not required .

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above **medical necessity criteria MUST** be met for the following codes to be covered for **Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity:**

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
77301	Intensity modulated radiotherapy plan, including dose-volume histograms for target and critical structure partial tolerance specifications
77338	Multi-leaf collimator (MLC) device(s) for intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT), design and construction per IMRT plan
77385	Intensity modulated radiation treatment delivery (IMRT), includes guidance and tracking, when performed; simple
77386	Intensity modulated radiation treatment delivery (IMRT), includes guidance and tracking, when performed; complex

HCPCS Codes

HCPCS codes:	Code Description
G6015	Intensity modulated treatment delivery, single or multiple fields/arcs, via narrow spatially and temporally modulated beams, binary, dynamic mlc, per treatment session
G6016	Compensator-based beam modulation treatment delivery of inverse planned treatment using 3 or more high resolution (milled or cast) compensator, convergent beam modulated fields, per treatment session

Description

For certain stages of many cancers, including breast and lung, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that postoperative radiotherapy (RT) improves outcomes for operable patients. Adding radiation to chemotherapy also improves outcomes for those with inoperable lung tumors that have not metastasized beyond regional lymph nodes.

Radiotherapy Techniques

Radiation therapy may be administered externally (ie, a beam of radiation is directed into the body) or internally (ie, a radioactive source is placed inside the body, near a tumor).³ External radiotherapy (RT) techniques include "conventional" or 2-dimensional (2D) RT, 3-dimensional (3D) conformal RT, and intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT).

Conventional External-Beam Radiotherapy

Methods to plan and deliver RT have evolved that permit more precise targeting of tumors with complex geometries. Conventional 2D treatment planning utilizes X-ray films to guide and position radiation beams.³ Bony landmarks bones visualized on X-ray are used to locate a tumor and direct the radiation beams. The radiation is typically of uniform intensity.

Three-Dimensional Conformal Radiotherapy

Radiation treatment planning has evolved to use 3D images, usually from computed tomography (CT) scans, to more precisely delineate the boundaries of the tumor and to discriminate tumor tissue from adjacent normal tissue and nearby organs at risk for radiation damage. Three-dimensional conformal RT (3D-CRT) involves initially scanning the patient in the position that will be used for the radiation treatment.³ The tumor target and surrounding normal organs are then outlined in 3D on the scan. Computer software assists in determining the orientation of radiation beams and the amount of radiation the tumor and normal tissues receive to ensure coverage of the entire tumor in order to minimize radiation

exposure for at risk normal tissue and nearby organs. Other imaging techniques and devices such as multileaf collimators (MLCs) may be used to "shape" the radiation beams. Methods have also been developed to position the patient and the radiation portal reproducibly for each fraction and to immobilize the patient, thus maintaining consistent beam axes across treatment sessions.

Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy

IMRT is the more recent development in external radiation. Treatment planning and delivery are more complex, time-consuming, and labor-intensive for IMRT than for 3D-CRT. Similar to 3D-CRT, the tumor and surrounding normal organs are outlined in 3D by a scan and multiple radiation beams are positioned around the patient for radiation delivery.³ In IMRT, radiation beams are divided into a grid-like pattern, separating a single beam into many smaller "beamlets". Specialized computer software allows for "inverse" treatment planning. The radiation oncologist delineates the target on each slice of a CT scan and specifies the target's prescribed radiation dose, acceptable limits of dose heterogeneity within the target volume, adjacent normal tissue volumes to avoid, and acceptable dose limits within the normal tissues. Based on these parameters and a digitally reconstructed radiographic image of the tumor, surrounding tissues, and organs at risk, computer software optimizes the location, shape, and intensities of the beam ports to achieve the treatment plan's goals.

Increased conformality may permit escalated tumor doses without increasing normal tissue toxicity and is proposed to improve local tumor control, with decreased exposure to surrounding, normal tissues, potentially reducing acute and late radiation toxicities. Better dose homogeneity within the target may also improve local tumor control by avoiding underdosing within the tumor and may decrease toxicity by avoiding overdosing.

Other advanced techniques that may further improve RT treatment by improving dose distribution. These techniques are considered variations of IMRT. Volumetric modulated arc therapy delivers radiation from a continuous rotation of the radiation source. The principal advantage of volumetric modulated arc therapy is greater efficiency in treatment delivery time, reducing radiation exposure and improving target radiation delivery due to less patient motion. Image-guided RT involves the incorporation of imaging before and/or during treatment to more precisely deliver RT to the target volume.

Investigators are exploring an active breathing control device combined with moderately deep inspiration breath-holding techniques to improve conformality and dose distributions during IMRT for breast cancer.⁴ Techniques presently being studied with other tumors (eg, lung cancer)⁵ either gate beam delivery to the patient's respiratory movement or continuously monitor tumor (by in-room imaging) or marker (internal or surface) positions to aim radiation more accurately at the target. The impact of these techniques on the outcomes of 3D-CRT or IMRT for breast cancer is unknown. However, it appears likely that respiratory motion alters the dose distributions actually delivered while treating patients from those predicted by plans based on static CT scans or measured by dosimetry using stationary (nonbreathing) targets.

Summary

Radiotherapy (RT) is an integral component of the treatment of breast and lung cancers. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) has been proposed as a method of RT that allows adequate radiation to the tumor while minimizing the radiation dose to surrounding normal tissues and critical structures.

For individuals who have breast cancer who receive IMRT, the evidence includes systematic reviews, RCTs, and nonrandomized comparative studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, locoregional control, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. There is modest evidence from RCTs for a decrease in acute skin toxicity with IMRT compared with 2D-RT for whole-breast irradiation, and dosimetry studies have demonstrated that IMRT reduces inhomogeneity of radiation dose, thus potentially providing a mechanism for reduced skin toxicity. However, because whole-breast RT is now delivered by 3D-CRT, these comparative data are of limited value.

Studies comparing IMRT with 3D-CRT include 1 RCT comparing IMRT with DIBH to 3D-CRT, 2 nonrandomized comparative studies on whole-breast IMRT, and a few studies on chest wall IMRT. These studies suggest that IMRT requires less radiation exposure to nontarget areas and may improve short-term clinical outcomes. The available studies on chest wall IMRT for postmastectomy breast cancer patients have only focused on treatment planning and techniques. However, when dose-planning studies have indicated that RT will lead to unacceptably high radiation doses, the studies suggest IMRT will lead to improved outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

Evidence supports the use of IMRT for left-sided breast lesions in which alternative types of RT cannot avoid toxicity to the heart. Based on available evidence, input from clinical vetting, a strong chain of evidence, and the potential to reduce harms, IMRT may be considered medically necessary for whole-breast irradiation when (1) alternative forms of RT cannot avoid cardiac toxicity, and (2) IMRT dose-planning demonstrates a substantial reduction in cardiac toxicity. IMRT for the palliative treatment of lung cancer is considered not medically necessary because conventional radiation techniques are adequate for palliation.

For individuals who have lung cancer who receive IMRT, the evidence includes nonrandomized, retrospective, comparative studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, locoregional control, and treatment-related morbidity. Dosimetry studies have shown that IMRT can reduce radiation exposure to critical surrounding structures, especially in large lung tumors. Based on nonrandomized comparative studies, IMRT appears to produce survival outcomes comparable to those of 3D-CRT and reduce toxicity. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

Clinical vetting also provided strong support for IMRT when alternative RT dosimetry exceeds a threshold of 20-Gy dose-volume (V20) to at least 35% of normal lung tissue. Based on available evidence, clinical vetting, a strong chain of evidence, and the potential to reduce harms, IMRT may be considered medically necessary for lung cancer when: (1) RT is given with curative intent, (2) alternative RT dosimetry demonstrates radiation dose exceeding V20 for at least 35% of normal lung tissue, and (3) IMRT reduces the V20 of radiation to the lung at least 10% below the V20 of 3D-CRT (eg, 40% reduced to 30%).

Policy History

Date	Action
9/2020	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
9/2019	BCBSA National medical policy review. Description, summary and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
9/2018	BCBSA National medical policy review. No changes to policy statements. New references added. Summary clarified.
8/2017	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
10/2016	New references added from BCBSA National medical policy.
9/2016	Clarified coding information.
2/2016	Local Coverage Determination (LCD) for Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) (L3244) removed. 2/1/2016
11/2015	Added coding language.
6/2015	BCBSA National medical policy review. Title changed from "radiation therapy" to "radiotherapy." Effective 6/1/2015.
1/2015	Clarified coding information.
8/2014	Clinical exception and notification clarified.
6/2014	Updated Coding section with ICD10 procedure and diagnosis codes, effective 10/2015.
6/2013	New references from BCBSA National medical policy.
2/2013	BCBSA National medical policy review.

	Changes to policy statements. Effective 2/4/2013.
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
9/1/2011	Medical Policy 163 effective 9/1/2011 describing covered and non-covered indications.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Donovan E, Bleakley N, Denholm E, et al. Randomised trial of standard 2D radiotherapy (RT) versus intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) in patients prescribed breast radiotherapy. *Radiother Oncol.* Mar 2007; 82(3): 254-64. PMID 17224195
2. Pignol JP, Olivotto I, Rakovitch E, et al. A multicenter randomized trial of breast intensity-modulated radiation therapy to reduce acute radiation dermatitis. *J Clin Oncol.* May 01 2008; 26(13): 2085-92. PMID 18285602
3. Shinohara E, Whaley JT. Radiation therapy: which type is right for me? Last reviewed: March 3, 2020. <https://www.oncolink.org/cancer-treatment/radiation/introduction-to-radiation-therapy/radiation-therapy-which-type-is-right-for-me>. Accessed June 2, 2020
4. Jagsi R, Griffith KA, Moran JM, et al. A Randomized Comparison of Radiation Therapy Techniques in the Management of Node-Positive Breast Cancer: Primary Outcomes Analysis. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Aug 01 2018; 101(5): 1149-1158. PMID 30012527
5. Kaza E, Dunlop A, Panek R, et al. Lung volume reproducibility under ABC control and self-sustained breath-holding. *J Appl Clin Med Phys.* Mar 2017; 18(2): 154-162. PMID 28300372
6. Coon AB, Dickler A, Kirk MC, et al. Tomotherapy and multifield intensity-modulated radiotherapy planning reduce cardiac doses in left-sided breast cancer patients with unfavorable cardiac anatomy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Sep 01 2010; 78(1): 104-10. PMID 20004529
7. Dayes I, Rumble RB, Bowen J, et al. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy in the treatment of breast cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol).* Sep 2012; 24(7): 488-98. PMID 22748561
8. Pignol JP, Truong P, Rakovitch E, et al. Ten years results of the Canadian breast intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) randomized controlled trial. *Radiother Oncol.* Dec 2016; 121(3): 414-419. PMID 27637858
9. Barnett GC, Wilkinson J, Moody AM, et al. A randomised controlled trial of forward-planned radiotherapy (IMRT) for early breast cancer: baseline characteristics and dosimetry results. *Radiother Oncol.* Jul 2009; 92(1): 34-41. PMID 19375808
10. Barnett GC, Wilkinson JS, Moody AM, et al. Randomized controlled trial of forward-planned intensity modulated radiotherapy for early breast cancer: interim results at 2 years. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Feb 01 2012; 82(2): 715-23. PMID 21345620
11. Hardee ME, Raza S, Becker SJ, et al. Prone hypofractionated whole-breast radiotherapy without a boost to the tumor bed: comparable toxicity of IMRT versus a 3D conformal technique. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Mar 01 2012; 82(3): e415-23. PMID 22019349
12. Guttman DM, Gabriel P, Kennedy C, et al. Comparison of acute toxicities between contemporary forward-planned 3D conformal radiotherapy and inverse-planned intensity-modulated radiotherapy for whole breast radiation. *Breast J.* Mar 2018; 24(2): 128-132. PMID 28703444
13. Rudat V, Alaradi AA, Mohamed A, et al. Tangential beam IMRT versus tangential beam 3D-CRT of the chest wall in postmastectomy breast cancer patients: a dosimetric comparison. *Radiat Oncol.* Mar 21 2011; 6: 26. PMID 21418616
14. Rastogi K, Sharma S, Gupta S, et al. Dosimetric comparison of IMRT versus 3DCRT for post-mastectomy chest wall irradiation. *Radiat Oncol J.* Mar 2018; 36(1): 71-78. PMID 29621872

15. Ho AY, Ballangrud A, Li G, et al. Long-Term Pulmonary Outcomes of a Feasibility Study of Inverse-Planned, Multibeam Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy in Node-Positive Breast Cancer Patients Receiving Regional Nodal Irradiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Apr 01 2019; 103(5): 1100-1108. PMID 30508620
16. Kivanc H, Gultekin M, Gurkaynak M, et al. Dosimetric comparison of three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy and intensity-modulated radiotherapy for left-sided chest wall and lymphatic irradiation. *J Appl Clin Med Phys.* Dec 2019; 20(12): 36-44. PMID 31680445
17. Bezjak A, Rumble RB, Rodrigues G, et al. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy in the treatment of lung cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol).* Sep 2012; 24(7): 508-20. PMID 22726417
18. Liao ZX, Komaki RR, Thames HD, et al. Influence of technologic advances on outcomes in patients with unresectable, locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer receiving concomitant chemoradiotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Mar 01 2010; 76(3): 775-81. PMID 19515503
19. Shirvani SM, Juloori A, Allen PK, et al. Comparison of 2 common radiation therapy techniques for definitive treatment of small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Sep 01 2013; 87(1): 139-47. PMID 23920393
20. Harris JP, Murphy JD, Hanlon AL, et al. A population-based comparative effectiveness study of radiation therapy techniques in stage III non-small cell lung cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* Mar 15 2014; 88(4): 872-84. PMID 24495591
21. Ling DC, Hess CB, Chen AM, et al. Comparison of Toxicity Between Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy and 3-Dimensional Conformal Radiotherapy for Locally Advanced Non-small-cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer.* Jan 2016; 17(1): 18-23. PMID 26303127
22. Chun SG, Hu C, Choy H, et al. Impact of Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy Technique for Locally Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: A Secondary Analysis of the NRG Oncology RTOG 0617 Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Clin Oncol.* Jan 2017; 35(1): 56-62. PMID 28034064
23. Koshy M, Malik R, Spiotto M, et al. Association between intensity modulated radiotherapy and survival in patients with stage III non-small cell lung cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy. *Lung Cancer.* Jun 2017; 108: 222-227. PMID 28625640
24. Appel S, Bar J, Ben-Nun A, et al. Comparative effectiveness of intensity modulated radiation therapy to 3-dimensional conformal radiation in locally advanced lung cancer: pathological and clinical outcomes. *Br J Radiol.* May 2019; 92(1097): 20180960. PMID 30864828
25. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Breast Cancer, Version 4.2020. Updated May 8, 2020. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2020.
26. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer, Version 5.2020. Updated May 27, 2020. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2020.
27. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines): Small Cell Lung Cancer, Version 3.2020. Updated February 5, 2020. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/sclc.pdf. Accessed June 4, 2020.
28. Smith BD, Bellon JR, Blitzblau R, et al. Radiation therapy for the whole breast: Executive summary of an American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) evidence-based guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* May 2018; 8(3): 145-152. PMID 29545124
29. Moeller B, Balagamwala EH, Chen A, et al. Palliative thoracic radiation therapy for non-small cell lung cancer: 2018 Update of an American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO) Evidence-Based Guideline. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* Jul 2018; 8(4): 245-250. PMID 29625898
30. Recht A, Comen EA, Fine RE, et al. Postmastectomy Radiotherapy: An American Society of Clinical Oncology, American Society for Radiation Oncology, and Society of Surgical Oncology Focused Guideline Update. *Pract Radiat Oncol.* Nov 2016; 6(6): e219-e234. PMID 27659727