



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an independent
Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Extracorporeal Shock Wave Treatment for Plantar Fasciitis and Other Musculoskeletal Conditions

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Description](#)
- [References](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Policy History](#)

Policy Number: 081

BCBSA Reference Number: 2.01.40 (For Plans internal use only)

Related Policies

- Bone Morphogenetic Protein, #[097](#)
- Electrical Bone Growth Stimulation of the Appendicular Skeleton, #[499](#)

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT), using either a high or low-dose protocol or radial ESWT, is **INVESTIGATIONAL** as a treatment of musculoskeletal conditions, including but not limited to:

- Plantar fasciitis
- Tendinopathies including tendinitis of the shoulder, achilles tendinitis, tendinitis of the elbow (lateral epicondylitis), and patellar tendinitis;
- Stress fractures;
- Avascular necrosis of the femoral head;
- Delayed union and nonunion of fractures; and
- Spasticity.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	This is not a covered service.
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	This is not a covered service.
Medicare HMO Blue SM	This is not a covered service.
Medicare PPO Blue SM	This is not a covered service.

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The following CPT codes are considered investigational for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
28890	Extracorporeal shock wave, high energy, performed by a physician, requiring anesthesia other than local, including ultrasound guidance, involving the plantar fascia
0101T	Extracorporeal shock wave involving musculoskeletal system, not otherwise specified, high energy
0102T	Extracorporeal shock wave therapy; high energy, performed by a physician, requiring anesthesia other than local, involving lateral humeral epicondyle

Description

Chronic Musculoskeletal Conditions

Chronic musculoskeletal conditions (eg, tendinitis) can be associated with a substantial degree of scarring and calcium deposition. Calcium deposits may restrict motion and encroach on other structures, such as nerves and blood vessels, causing pain and decreased function. One hypothesis is that disruption of calcific deposits by shock waves may loosen adjacent structures and promote resorption of calcium, thereby decreasing pain and improving function.

Plantar Fasciitis

Plantar fasciitis is a common ailment characterized by deep pain in the plantar aspect of the heel, particularly on arising from bed. While the pain may subside with activity, in some patients the pain persists, interrupting activities of daily living. On physical examination, firm pressure will elicit a tender spot over the medial tubercle of the calcaneus. The exact etiology of plantar fasciitis is unclear, although repetitive injury is suspected. Heel spurs are a common associated finding, although it is unproven that heel spurs cause the pain. Asymptomatic heel spurs can be found in up to 10% of the population.

Tendinitis and Tendinopathies

Common tendinitis and tendinopathy syndromes are summarized in Table 1. Many tendinitis and tendinopathy syndromes are related to overuse injury.

Table 1. Tendinitis and Tendinopathy Syndromes

Disorder	Location	Symptoms	Conservative Therapy	Other Therapies
Lateral epicondylitis ("tennis elbow")	Lateral elbow (insertion of wrist extensors)	Tenderness over lateral epicondyle and proximal wrist extensor muscle mass; pain with resisted wrist extension with elbow in full extension; pain with passive terminal wrist flexion with elbow in full extension	Rest Activity modification NSAIDs Physical therapy Orthotic devices	Corticosteroid injections; joint débridement (open or laparoscopic)
Shoulder tendinopathy	Rotator cuff muscle tendons, most commonly supraspinatus	Pain with overhead activity	Rest Ice NSAIDs Physical therapy	Corticosteroid injections
Achilles tendinopathy	Achilles tendon	Pain or stiffness 2-6 cm above the posterior calcaneus	Avoidance of aggravating activities Ice when symptomatic NSAIDs Heel lift	Surgical repair for tendon rupture
Patellar tendinopathy ("jumper's knee")	Proximal tendon at lower pole of patella	Pain over anterior knee and patellar tendon; may progress to tendon calcification and/or tear	Ice Supportive taping Patellar tendon straps NSAIDs	

NSAIDs: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Fracture Nonunion and Delayed Union

The definition of a fracture nonunion remains controversial, particularly the duration necessary to define nonunion. One proposed definition is a failure of progression of fracture healing for at least 3 consecutive months (and at least 6 months after the fracture) accompanied by clinical symptoms of delayed/nonunion (pain, difficulty weight bearing). The following criteria to define nonunion were used to inform this review:

- at least 3 months since the date of fracture;
- serial radiographs have confirmed that no progressive signs of healing have occurred;
- the fracture gap is 1 cm or less; and
- the patient can be adequately immobilized and is of an age likely to comply with nonweight-bearing limitation.

The delayed union can be defined as a decelerating healing process, as determined by serial radiographs, together with a lack of clinical and radiologic evidence of union, bony continuity, or bone reaction at the fracture site for no less than 3 months from the index injury or the most recent intervention. (In contrast, nonunion serial radiographs show no evidence of healing.)

Other Musculoskeletal and Neurologic Conditions

Other musculoskeletal conditions include medial tibial stress syndrome, osteonecrosis (avascular necrosis) of the femoral head, coccydynia, and painful stump neuromas. Neurologic conditions include spasticity, which refers to a motor disorder characterized by increased velocity-dependent stretch reflexes. It is a characteristic of upper motor neuron dysfunction, which may be due to a variety of pathologies.

Treatment

Most cases of plantar fasciitis are treated with conservative therapy, including rest or minimization of running and jumping, heel cups, and nonsteroidal-anti-inflammatory drugs. Local steroid injection may also be used. Improvement may take up to 1 year in some cases.

For tendinitis and tendinopathy syndromes, conservative treatment often involves rest, activity modifications, physical therapy, and anti-inflammatory medications (Table 1).

Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy

Also known as orthotripsy, extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) has been available since the early 1980s for the treatment of renal stones and has been widely investigated for the treatment of biliary stones. ESWT uses externally applied shock waves to create a transient pressure disturbance, which disrupts solid structures, breaking them into smaller fragments, thus allowing spontaneous passage and/or removal of stones. The mechanism by which ESWT might have an effect on musculoskeletal conditions is not well-defined.

Other mechanisms are also thought to be involved in ESWT. Physical stimuli are known to activate endogenous pain control systems, and activation by shock waves may "reset" the endogenous pain receptors. Damage to endothelial tissue from ESWT may result in increased vessel wall permeability, causing increased diffusion of cytokines, which may, in turn, promote healing. Microtrauma induced by ESWT may promote angiogenesis and thus aid healing. Finally, shock waves have been shown to stimulate osteogenesis and promote callous formation in animals, which is the basis for trials of ESWT in delayed union or nonunion of bone fractures.

There are 2 types of ESWT: focused and radial. Focused ESWT sends medium- to high-energy shockwaves of single pressure pulses lasting microseconds, directed on a specific target using ultrasound or radiographic guidance. Radial ESWT (RSW) transmits low- to medium-energy shockwaves radially over a larger surface area. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval was first granted in 2002 for focused ESWT devices and in 2007 for RSW devices.

Summary

Extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) is a noninvasive method used to treat pain with shock or sound waves directed from outside the body onto the area to be treated (eg, the heel in the case of plantar fasciitis). Shock waves are generated at high- or low-energy intensity, and treatment protocols can include more than 1 treatment. ESWT has been investigated for use in a variety of musculoskeletal conditions.

For treatment of plantar fasciitis using ESWT, numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were identified, including several well-designed, double-blind RCTs, that evaluated ESWT for the treatment of plantar fasciitis. Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been conducted, covering numerous studies, including studies that compared ESWT with corticosteroid injections. Pooled results were inconsistent. Some meta-analyses reported that ESWT reduced pain, while others reported nonsignificant pain reduction. Reasons for the differing results included lack of uniformity in the definitions of outcomes and heterogeneity in ESWT protocols (focused versus radial, low- versus high-intensity/energy, number and duration of shocks per treatment, number of treatments, and differing comparators). Some studies reported significant benefits in pain and functional improvement at 3 months, but it is not evident that the longer-term disease natural history is altered with ESWT. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have lateral epicondylitis who receive ESWT, the most direct evidence on the use of ESWT comes from multiple small RCTs, which did not consistently show outcome improvements beyond those seen in control groups. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The highest quality trials tend to show no benefit, and systematic reviews have generally concluded that the evidence does not support a treatment benefit over

placebo or no treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have shoulder tendinopathy who receive ESWT, a number of small RCTs, summarized in several systematic reviews and meta-analyses, comprise the evidence. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Network meta-analyses focused on 3 outcomes: pain reduction, functional assessment, and change in calcific deposits. One network meta-analysis separated trials using high-energy focused shock wave (H-FSW), low-energy focused shock wave, and radial shock wave (RSW). It reported that the most effective treatment for pain reduction was ultrasound-guided needling, followed by RSW and H-FSW. The only treatment showing a benefit in functional outcomes was H-FSW. For the largest change in calcific deposits, the most effective treatment was ultrasound-guided needling followed by RSW and H-FSW. Although some trials have reported a benefit for pain and functional outcomes, particularly for high-energy ESWT for calcific tendinopathy, many available trials have been considered poor quality. More high-quality trials are needed to determine whether ESWT improves outcomes for shoulder tendinopathy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have Achilles tendinopathy who receive ESWT, the evidence includes systematic reviews of RCTs and RCTs published after the systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. In the most recent systematic review, a pooled analysis found that ESWT reduced both short- and long-term pain compared with nonoperative treatments, although reviewers warned that results were inconsistent across the RCTs and that there was heterogeneity across patient populations and treatment protocols. An RCT published after the systematic review compared ESWT with hyaluronan injections and reported improvements in both treatment groups, although the improvements were significantly higher in the injection group. Another RCT found no difference in pain scores between low-energy ESWT and sham controls at week 24, but ESWT may provide short therapeutic effects at weeks 4 to 12. Another RCT found scores were statistically and clinically improved with ESWT compared with sham control at 1 month and 16 months on measures of pain and function. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have patellar tendinopathy who receive ESWT, the trials have reported inconsistent results and were heterogeneous in treatment protocols and lengths of follow-up. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have medial tibial stress syndrome who receive ESWT, the evidence includes a small RCT and a small nonrandomized cohort study. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The RCT showed no difference in self-reported pain measurements between study groups. The nonrandomized trial reported improvements with ESWT, but selection bias limited the strength of the conclusions. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have osteonecrosis of the femoral head who receive ESWT, the evidence includes systematic reviews of small, mostly nonrandomized studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Many of the studies were low quality and lacked comparators. While most studies reported favorable outcomes with ESWT, limitations such as heterogeneity in the treatment protocols, patient populations, and lengths of follow-up make conclusions on the efficacy of ESWT for osteonecrosis uncertain. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have nonunion or delayed union who receive ESWT, the evidence includes systematic reviews, relatively small RCTs with methodologic limitations (eg, heterogeneous outcomes

and treatment protocols), and case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The available evidence does not permit conclusions on the efficacy of ESWT in fracture nonunion, delayed union, or acute long bone fractures. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have spasticity who receive ESWT, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews, primarily in patients with stroke and cerebral palsy. Several studies have demonstrated improvements in spasticity measures after ESWT, but most studies have small sample sizes and single center designs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. More well-designed controlled trials in larger populations are needed to determine whether ESWT leads to clinically meaningful improvements in pain and/or functional outcomes for spasticity. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Policy History

Date	Action
8/2022	Annual review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
12/2020	Annual review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
8/2019	Annual review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
7/2018	Annual review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
7/2017	Annual review. New references added
1/2017	Clarified coding information for the 2017 code changes.
7/2016	Annual review. New references added
5/2015	Clarified coding language.
4/2015	Annual review. Editorial changes made for clarity to policy statements; intent of policy statements unchanged. Effective 4/1/2015.
5/2014	Annual review. New references added
4/2013	Annual review. New references added
11/2011-4/2012	Medical policy ICD 10 remediation: Formatting, editing and coding updates. No changes to policy statements.
6/2011	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation and Rheumatology. No changes to policy statements.
7/2010	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation and Rheumatology. No changes to policy statements.
7/2009	Reviewed - Medical Policy Group - Orthopedics, Rehabilitation and Rheumatology. No changes to policy statements.
3/2009	Annual Review. Radial ESWT added to non- coverage statement.
11/2008	Medical Policy 081 effective 11/2008 describing ongoing non-coverage.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Dizon JN, Gonzalez-Suarez C, Zamora MT, et al. Effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy in chronic plantar fasciitis: a meta-analysis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* Jul 2013; 92(7): 606-20. PMID 23552334
2. Aqil A, Siddiqui MR, Solan M, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy is effective in treating chronic plantar fasciitis: a meta-analysis of RCTs. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* Nov 2013; 471(11): 3645-52. PMID 23813184
3. Zhiyun L, Tao J, Zengwu S. Meta-analysis of high-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy in recalcitrant plantar fasciitis. *Swiss Med Wkly.* 2013; 143: w13825. PMID 23832373
4. Yin MC, Ye J, Yao M, et al. Is extracorporeal shock wave therapy clinical efficacy for relief of chronic, recalcitrant plantar fasciitis? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized placebo or active-treatment controlled trials. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* Aug 2014; 95(8): 1585-93. PMID 24662810
5. Lou J, Wang S, Liu S, et al. Effectiveness of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy Without Local Anesthesia in Patients With Recalcitrant Plantar Fasciitis: A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* Aug 2017; 96(8): 529-534. PMID 27977431
6. Sun J, Gao F, Wang Y, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy is effective in treating chronic plantar fasciitis: A meta-analysis of RCTs. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Apr 2017; 96(15): e6621. PMID 28403111
7. Li S, Wang K, Sun H, et al. Clinical effects of extracorporeal shock-wave therapy and ultrasound-guided local corticosteroid injections for plantar fasciitis in adults: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Dec 2018; 97(50): e13687. PMID 30558080
8. Xiong Y, Wu Q, Mi B, et al. Comparison of efficacy of shock-wave therapy versus corticosteroids in plantar fasciitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* Apr 2019; 139(4): 529-536. PMID 30426211
9. Gollwitzer H, Saxena A, DiDomenico LA, et al. Clinically relevant effectiveness of focused extracorporeal shock wave therapy in the treatment of chronic plantar fasciitis: a randomized, controlled multicenter study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* May 06 2015; 97(9): 701-8. PMID 25948515
10. Gerdesmeyer L, Frey C, Vester J, et al. Radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy is safe and effective in the treatment of chronic recalcitrant plantar fasciitis: results of a confirmatory randomized placebo-controlled multicenter study. *Am J Sports Med.* Nov 2008; 36(11): 2100-9. PMID 18832341
11. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of safety and effectiveness data: Orthospec™ Orthopedic ESWT. 2005; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf4/P040026b.pdf. Accessed May 3, 2022.
12. Food and Drug Administration. Summary of safety and effectiveness: Orbasone Pain Relief System. 2005; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf4/P040039b.pdf. Accessed May 2, 2022.
13. Radwan YA, Mansour AM, Badawy WS. Resistant plantar fasciopathy: shock wave versus endoscopic plantar fascial release. *Int Orthop.* Oct 2012; 36(10): 2147-56. PMID 22782376
14. Eslamian F, Shakouri SK, Jahanjoo F, et al. Extra Corporeal Shock Wave Therapy Versus Local Corticosteroid Injection in the Treatment of Chronic Plantar Fasciitis, a Single Blinded Randomized Clinical Trial. *Pain Med.* Sep 2016; 17(9): 1722-31. PMID 27282594
15. Lai TW, Ma HL, Lee MS, et al. Ultrasonography and clinical outcome comparison of extracorporeal shock wave therapy and corticosteroid injections for chronic plantar fasciitis: A randomized controlled trial. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact.* Mar 01 2018; 18(1): 47-54. PMID 29504578
16. Xu D, Jiang W, Huang D, et al. Comparison Between Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy and Local Corticosteroid Injection for Plantar Fasciitis. *Foot Ankle Int.* Feb 2020; 41(2): 200-205. PMID 31744313
17. Cinar E, Saxena S, Uygur F. Combination Therapy Versus Exercise and Orthotic Support in the Management of Pain in Plantar Fasciitis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Foot Ankle Int.* Apr 2018; 39(4): 406-414. PMID 29327602
18. Bahar-Ozdemir Y, Atan T. Effects of adjuvant low-dye Kinesio taping, adjuvant sham taping, or extracorporeal shockwave therapy alone in plantar fasciitis: A randomised double-blind controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pract.* May 2021; 75(5): e13993. PMID 33410228
19. Buchbinder R, Green SE, Youd JM, et al. Shock wave therapy for lateral elbow pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* Oct 19 2005; (4): CD003524. PMID 16235324
20. Dingemans R, Randsdorp M, Koes BW, et al. Evidence for the effectiveness of electrophysical modalities for treatment of medial and lateral epicondylitis: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* Jun 2014; 48(12): 957-65. PMID 23335238

21. Zheng C, Zeng D, Chen J, et al. Effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy in patients with tennis elbow: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Jul 24 2020; 99(30): e21189. PMID 32791694
22. Yoon SY, Kim YW, Shin IS, et al. Does the Type of Extracorporeal Shock Therapy Influence Treatment Effectiveness in Lateral Epicondylitis? A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Oct 2020; 478(10): 2324-2339. PMID 32332245
23. Karanasios S, Tsamasiotis GK, Michopoulos K, et al. Clinical effectiveness of shockwave therapy in lateral elbow tendinopathy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil*. Oct 2021; 35(10): 1383-1398. PMID 33813913
24. Yao G, Chen J, Duan Y, et al. Efficacy of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy for Lateral Epicondylitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Biomed Res Int*. 2020; 2020: 2064781. PMID 32309425
25. Yan C, Xiong Y, Chen L, et al. A comparative study of the efficacy of ultrasonics and extracorporeal shock wave in the treatment of tennis elbow: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Orthop Surg Res*. Aug 06 2019; 14(1): 248. PMID 31387611
26. Xiong Y, Xue H, Zhou W, et al. Shock-wave therapy versus corticosteroid injection on lateral epicondylitis: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Phys Sportsmed*. Sep 2019; 47(3): 284-289. PMID 30951399
27. Aldajah S, Alashram AR, Annino G, et al. Analgesic Effect of Extracorporeal Shock-Wave Therapy in Individuals with Lateral Epicondylitis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Funct Morphol Kinesiol*. Mar 18 2022; 7(1). PMID 35323612
28. Guler T, Yildirim P. Comparison of the efficacy of kinesiotaping and extracorporeal shock wave therapy in patients with newly diagnosed lateral epicondylitis: A prospective randomized trial. *Niger J Clin Pract*. May 2020; 23(5): 704-710. PMID 32367880
29. Yang TH, Huang YC, Lau YC, et al. Efficacy of Radial Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy on Lateral Epicondylitis, and Changes in the Common Extensor Tendon Stiffness with Pretherapy and Posttherapy in Real-Time Sonoelastography: A Randomized Controlled Study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. Feb 2017; 96(2): 93-100. PMID 27323324
30. Capan N, Esmailzadeh S, Oral A, et al. Radial Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy Is Not More Effective Than Placebo in the Management of Lateral Epicondylitis: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. Jul 2016; 95(7): 495-506. PMID 26544854
31. Lizis P. Analgesic effect of extracorporeal shock wave therapy versus ultrasound therapy in chronic tennis elbow. *J Phys Ther Sci*. Aug 2015; 27(8): 2563-7. PMID 26357440
32. Gunduz R, Malas FU, Borman P, et al. Physical therapy, corticosteroid injection, and extracorporeal shock wave treatment in lateral epicondylitis. Clinical and ultrasonographical comparison. *Clin Rheumatol*. May 2012; 31(5): 807-12. PMID 22278162
33. Staples MP, Forbes A, Ptasznik R, et al. A randomized controlled trial of extracorporeal shock wave therapy for lateral epicondylitis (tennis elbow). *J Rheumatol*. Oct 2008; 35(10): 2038-46. PMID 18792997
34. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Extracorporeal shock wave treatment for musculoskeletal indications TEC Assessments. 2003;Volume 18:Tab 5.
35. Pettrone FA, McCall BR. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy without local anesthesia for chronic lateral epicondylitis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jun 2005; 87(6): 1297-304. PMID 15930540
36. Wu YC, Tsai WC, Tu YK, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of Nonoperative Treatments for Chronic Calcific Tendinitis of the Shoulder: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Aug 2017; 98(8): 1678-1692.e6. PMID 28400182
37. Arirachakaran A, Boonard M, Yamaphai S, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy, ultrasound-guided percutaneous lavage, corticosteroid injection and combined treatment for the treatment of rotator cuff calcific tendinopathy: a network meta-analysis of RCTs. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. Apr 2017; 27(3): 381-390. PMID 27554465
38. Ioppolo F, Tattoli M, Di Sante L, et al. Clinical improvement and resorption of calcifications in calcific tendinitis of the shoulder after shock wave therapy at 6 months' follow-up: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Sep 2013; 94(9): 1699-706. PMID 23499780
39. Yu H, Cote P, Shearer HM, et al. Effectiveness of passive physical modalities for shoulder pain: systematic review by the Ontario protocol for traffic injury management collaboration. *Phys Ther*. Mar 2015; 95(3): 306-18. PMID 25394425

40. Verstraelen FU, In den Kleef NJ, Jansen L, et al. High-energy versus low-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy for calcifying tendinitis of the shoulder: which is superior? A meta-analysis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Sep 2014; 472(9): 2816-25. PMID 24872197
41. Bannuru RR, Flavin NE, Vaysbrot E, et al. High-energy extracorporeal shock-wave therapy for treating chronic calcific tendinitis of the shoulder: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med*. Apr 15 2014; 160(8): 542-9. PMID 24733195
42. Huisstede BM, Gebremariam L, van der Sande R, et al. Evidence for effectiveness of Extracorporeal Shock-Wave Therapy (ESWT) to treat calcific and non-calcific rotator cuff tendinosis--a systematic review. *Man Ther*. Oct 2011; 16(5): 419-33. PMID 21396877
43. Kvalvaag E, Roe C, Engebretsen KB, et al. One year results of a randomized controlled trial on radial Extracorporeal Shock Wave Treatment, with predictors of pain, disability and return to work in patients with subacromial pain syndrome. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*. Jun 2018; 54(3): 341-350. PMID 28655271
44. Kvalvaag E, Brox JI, Engebretsen KB, et al. Effectiveness of Radial Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy (rESWT) When Combined With Supervised Exercises in Patients With Subacromial Shoulder Pain: A Double-Masked, Randomized, Sham-Controlled Trial. *Am J Sports Med*. Sep 2017; 45(11): 2547-2554. PMID 28586628
45. Kim EK, Kwak KI. Effect of extracorporeal shock wave therapy on the shoulder joint functional status of patients with calcific tendinitis. *J Phys Ther Sci*. Sep 2016; 28(9): 2522-2524. PMID 27799684
46. Kim YS, Lee HJ, Kim YV, et al. Which method is more effective in treatment of calcific tendinitis in the shoulder? Prospective randomized comparison between ultrasound-guided needling and extracorporeal shock wave therapy. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. Nov 2014; 23(11): 1640-6. PMID 25219475
47. Schofer MD, Hinrichs F, Peterlein CD, et al. High- versus low-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy of rotator cuff tendinopathy: a prospective, randomised, controlled study. *Acta Orthop Belg*. Aug 2009; 75(4): 452-8. PMID 19774810
48. Liu S, Zhai L, Shi Z, et al. Radial extracorporeal pressure pulse therapy for the primary long bicipital tenosynovitis a prospective randomized controlled study. *Ultrasound Med Biol*. May 2012; 38(5): 727-35. PMID 22425375
49. Mani-Babu S, Morrissey D, Waugh C, et al. The effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy in lower limb tendinopathy: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med*. Mar 2015; 43(3): 752-61. PMID 24817008
50. Al-Abbad H, Simon JV. The effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy on chronic achilles tendinopathy: a systematic review. *Foot Ankle Int*. Jan 2013; 34(1): 33-41. PMID 23386759
51. Costa ML, Shepstone L, Donell ST, et al. Shock wave therapy for chronic Achilles tendon pain: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. Nov 2005; 440: 199-204. PMID 16239807
52. Rasmussen S, Christensen M, Mathiesen I, et al. Shockwave therapy for chronic Achilles tendinopathy: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial of efficacy. *Acta Orthop*. Apr 2008; 79(2): 249-56. PMID 18484252
53. Abdelkader NA, Helmy MNK, Fayaz NA, et al. Short- and Intermediate-Term Results of Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy for Noninsertional Achilles Tendinopathy. *Foot Ankle Int*. Jun 2021; 42(6): 788-797. PMID 33451253
54. Pinitkwamdee S, Laohajaroensombat S, Orapin J, et al. Effectiveness of Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy in the Treatment of Chronic Insertional Achilles Tendinopathy. *Foot Ankle Int*. Apr 2020; 41(4): 403-410. PMID 31924120
55. Lynen N, De Vroey T, Spiegel I, et al. Comparison of Peritendinous Hyaluronan Injections Versus Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy in the Treatment of Painful Achilles' Tendinopathy: A Randomized Clinical Efficacy and Safety Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Jan 2017; 98(1): 64-71. PMID 27639439
56. Liao CD, Xie GM, Tsauo JY, et al. Efficacy of extracorporeal shock wave therapy for knee tendinopathies and other soft tissue disorders: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. Aug 02 2018; 19(1): 278. PMID 30068324
57. van Leeuwen MT, Zwerver J, van den Akker-Scheek I. Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for patellar tendinopathy: a review of the literature. *Br J Sports Med*. Mar 2009; 43(3): 163-8. PMID 18718975

58. Thijs KM, Zwerver J, Backx FJ, et al. Effectiveness of Shockwave Treatment Combined With Eccentric Training for Patellar Tendinopathy: A Double-Blinded Randomized Study. *Clin J Sport Med.* Mar 2017; 27(2): 89-96. PMID 27347857
59. Smith J, Sellon JL. Comparing PRP injections with ESWT for athletes with chronic patellar tendinopathy. *Clin J Sport Med.* Jan 2014; 24(1): 88-9. PMID 24366015
60. Newman P, Waddington G, Adams R. Shockwave treatment for medial tibial stress syndrome: A randomized double blind sham-controlled pilot trial. *J Sci Med Sport.* Mar 2017; 20(3): 220-224. PMID 27640922
61. Rompe JD, Cacchio A, Furia JP, et al. Low-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy as a treatment for medial tibial stress syndrome. *Am J Sports Med.* Jan 2010; 38(1): 125-32. PMID 19776340
62. Barnes M. Letter to the editor. "Low-energy extracorporeal shock wave therapy as a treatment for medial tibial stress syndrome". *Am J Sports Med.* Nov 2010; 38(11): NP1; author reply NP1-2. PMID 20971968
63. Hao Y, Guo H, Xu Z, et al. Meta-analysis of the potential role of extracorporeal shockwave therapy in osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *J Orthop Surg Res.* Jul 03 2018; 13(1): 166. PMID 29970103
64. Zhang Q, Liu L, Sun W, et al. Extracorporeal shockwave therapy in osteonecrosis of femoral head: A systematic review of now available clinical evidences. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Jan 2017; 96(4): e5897. PMID 28121934
65. Alves EM, Angrisani AT, Santiago MB. The use of extracorporeal shock waves in the treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head: a systematic review. *Clin Rheumatol.* Nov 2009; 28(11): 1247-51. PMID 19609482
66. Sansone V, Ravier D, Pascale V, et al. Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy in the Treatment of Nonunion in Long Bones: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Med.* Apr 01 2022; 11(7). PMID 35407583
67. Zelle BA, Gollwitzer H, Zlowodzki M, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy: current evidence. *J Orthop Trauma.* Mar 2010; 24 Suppl 1: S66-70. PMID 20182240
68. Wang CJ, Liu HC, Fu TH. The effects of extracorporeal shockwave on acute high-energy long bone fractures of the lower extremity. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* Feb 2007; 127(2): 137-42. PMID 17053946
69. Cacchio A, Giordano L, Colafarina O, et al. Extracorporeal shock-wave therapy compared with surgery for hypertrophic long-bone nonunions. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* Nov 2009; 91(11): 2589-97. PMID 19884432
70. Zhai L, Ma XL, Jiang C, et al. Human autologous mesenchymal stem cells with extracorporeal shock wave therapy for nonunion of long bones. *Indian J Orthop.* Sep 2016; 50(5): 543-550. PMID 27746499
71. Mihai EE, Dumitru L, Mihai IV, et al. Long-Term Efficacy of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy on Lower Limb Post-Stroke Spasticity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *J Clin Med.* Dec 29 2020; 10(1). PMID 33383655
72. Cabanas-Valdes R, Serra-Llobet P, Rodriguez-Rubio PR, et al. The effectiveness of extracorporeal shock wave therapy for improving upper limb spasticity and functionality in stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* Sep 2020; 34(9): 1141-1156. PMID 32513019
73. Jia G, Ma J, Wang S, et al. Long-term Effects of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy on Poststroke Spasticity: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* Mar 2020; 29(3): 104591. PMID 31899073
74. Kim HJ, Park JW, Nam K. Effect of extracorporeal shockwave therapy on muscle spasticity in patients with cerebral palsy: meta-analysis and systematic review. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* Dec 2019; 55(6): 761-771. PMID 31615195
75. Lee JY, Kim SN, Lee IS, et al. Effects of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy on Spasticity in Patients after Brain Injury: A Meta-analysis. *J Phys Ther Sci.* Oct 2014; 26(10): 1641-7. PMID 25364134
76. Vidal X, Marti-Fabregas J, Canet O, et al. Efficacy of radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy compared with botulinum toxin type A injection in treatment of lower extremity spasticity in subjects with cerebral palsy: A randomized, controlled, cross-over study. *J Rehabil Med.* Jun 30 2020; 52(6): jrm00076. PMID 32556354

77. Li G, Yuan W, Liu G, et al. Effects of radial extracorporeal shockwave therapy on spasticity of upper-limb agonist/antagonist muscles in patients affected by stroke: a randomized, single-blind clinical trial. *Age Ageing*. Feb 27 2020; 49(2): 246-252. PMID 31846499
78. Wu YT, Yu HK, Chen LR, et al. Extracorporeal Shock Waves Versus Botulinum Toxin Type A in the Treatment of Poststroke Upper Limb Spasticity: A Randomized Noninferiority Trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Nov 2018; 99(11): 2143-2150. PMID 30392753
79. Vidal X, Morral A, Costa L, et al. Radial extracorporeal shock wave therapy (rESWT) in the treatment of spasticity in cerebral palsy: a randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *NeuroRehabilitation*. 2011; 29(4): 413-9. PMID 22207070
80. Marwan Y, Husain W, Alhajji W, et al. Extracorporeal shock wave therapy relieved pain in patients with coccydynia: a report of two cases. *Spine J*. Jan 2014; 14(1): e1-4. PMID 24094989
81. Ahadi T, Hosseinverdi S, Raissi G, et al. Comparison of Extracorporeal Shockwave Therapy and Blind Steroid Injection in Patients With Coccydynia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. May 01 2022; 101(5): 417-422. PMID 34091468
82. Jung YJ, Park WY, Jeon JH, et al. Outcomes of ultrasound-guided extracorporeal shock wave therapy for painful stump neuroma. *Ann Rehabil Med*. Aug 2014; 38(4): 523-33. PMID 25229031
83. Furia JP, Rompe JD, Maffulli N, et al. Radial Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy Is Effective and Safe in Chronic Distal Biceps Tendinopathy. *Clin J Sport Med*. Sep 2017; 27(5): 430-437. PMID 27893487
84. Thomas JL, Christensen JC, Kravitz SR, et al. The diagnosis and treatment of heel pain: a clinical practice guideline-revision 2010. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. May-Jun 2010; 49(3 Suppl): S1-19. PMID 20439021
85. Schneider HP, Baca JM, Carpenter BB, et al. American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons Clinical Consensus Statement: Diagnosis and Treatment of Adult Acquired Infracalcaneal Heel Pain. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Mar 2018; 57(2): 370-381. PMID 29284574
86. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy for calcific tendonitis (tendonopathy) of the shoulder [IPG21]. 2003; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg21>. Accessed May 2, 2022.
87. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for refractory plantar fasciitis: guidance [IPG311]. 2009; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg311>. Accessed May 3, 2022.
88. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for refractory tennis elbow [IPG313]. 2009; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg313>. Accessed May 6, 2022.
89. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for refractory greater trochanteric pain syndrome [IPG376]. 2011; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg376>. Accessed May 4, 2022.
90. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Extracorporeal shockwave therapy for Achilles tendinopathy [IPG571]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg571>. Accessed May 5, 2022.